International Journal of Surgery Science

E-ISSN: 2616-3470 P-ISSN: 2616-3462 © Surgery Science www.surgeryscience.com 2023; 7(4): 100-103 Received: 05-10-2023 Accepted: 08-11-2023

Tameem Albassam

Specialist, Assistant Professor, HOD of Dentistry, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Dijlah University College, Baghdad, Iraq

Haydar Munir Salih

Senior Lecturer, Department of Maxillofacial Surgery, College of Dentistry, Gilgamesh Ahliya University, Iraq

Tabark Ahmed

Bachelor Degree, Department of Dental Surgery, Teaching Assistant, College of Dentistry, Baghdad University, Iraq

Corresponding Author: Tameem Albassam Specialist, Assistant Professor, HOD of Dentistry, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Dijlah University College, Baghdad, Iraq

Etiology of facial fractures in 398 patients treated in Baghdad

Tameem Albassam, Haydar Munir Salih and Tabark Ahmed

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/surgery.2023.v7.i4b.1035

Abstract

Background: Since the face is the most visible part of the human body, it has great aesthetic significance. It also regulates crucial processes including speech and chewing.

Method: The investigation was done at Alshaheed Ghazi Alhariri Specialized Surgery Teaching Hospital and Medical City Complex. Two researchers reviewed 398 oral and maxillofacial surgery patients' hospital records and radiographs from January 2022 to January 2023. The same portion treated all facial fractures. Fractures were characterized by age, sex, anatomical location, and injury aetiology. Anatomical position was utilized to diagnose mandibular fractures by Ivy and Curtis. Maxillary fractures were anatomically identified and classified using Le Fort. Open reduction and internal fixation or closed therapy were employed to classify the fractures.

Results: In a study of 398 patients, road traffic accidents were the most common cause of injury, leading to various fractures with mandibular fractures being the most frequent. The majority of these fractures were treated using open reduction and internal fixation. The study highlighted the prevalence and treatment approaches for different types of facial fractures.

Conclusion: The findings showed that transport accidents caused the most face fractures at Alshaheed Ghazi Alhariri specialized surgical teaching hospital / medical city complex. Sex and aetiology affect men and women's roles and facial trauma features. Men were more impacted than women, particularly young individuals (20-29 years), with the mandibular bone broken first, followed by the zygomatic and nasal bones.

Keywords: Etiology, facial, fractures, Baghdad

Introduction

Since the face is the most visible part of the human body, it has great aesthetic significance. It also regulates crucial processes including speech and chewing. Severe facial fractures may result in life-threatening complications such trouble breathing. Fractures of the face may result in both functional and cosmetic abnormalities, depending on the circumstances and treatment plan. Their influence on social functioning may be very detrimental ^[1]. In order to lessen the effects of post-fracture sequelae, he must be able to handle crises and conduct acceptable aesthetic and functional restoration as a maxillofacial surgeon who routinely treats face injuries. Important recommendations for the prevention and treatment of future fractures are provided by the examination of the kinds and causes of face fractures. Consequently, this topic has been the subject of several studies ^[2]. The fracture type has shifted from simple to complicated in several studies of face fractures as a result of growing traffic, population growth, and industrial diversification. Car accidents and robbery are the most frequent causes of face fractures [3-5]. However, the current investigations have produced contradictory findings because of the various study methodologies used by the investigators as well as the social, geological, and chronological variations among the individuals. Contrary ^[6, 7]. As a result, consistent data should be updated in accordance with regional and seasonal patterns. In oral and maxillofacial surgery clinics, patients with maxillofacial trauma are among the most often encountered cases. Maxillofacial fractures can result in major disease, functional disability, deformity, and high medical expenses [8, 9]. Adekeye claims that the population's increased participation in socioeconomic activities and heavy reliance on automobile traffic are to blame for the rise in both the incidence and severity of maxillofacial trauma ^[10, 11]. Damage to the soft and hard tissues of the facial extension, extending from the frontal bone above to the lower jaw, is referred to as maxillofacial trauma.

They range from little rips in the tissue to intricate maxillary bone fractures ^[13, 12]. Oral and maxillofacial surgeons continue to face difficulties in managing lesions of the maxillofacial complex, which call for both skill and knowledge ^[14-16].

Material and Methods

The Alshaheed Ghazi Alhariri Specialized Surgery Teaching Hospital and Medical City Complex served as the study's location. Two investigators examined the hospital records and radiographs of 398 patients who received care from the oral and maxillofacial surgery division between January 2022 and January 2023. The same section handled treatment for every face fracture. The fractures were classified based on the patient's age, sex, and anatomical location as well as the injury's aetiology. The technique outlined by Ivy and Curtis ^[17] was used to classify the mandibular fractures based on their anatomic position. Maxillary fractures were anatomically located and categorized using the Le Fort ^[18-20] approach. The fractures were further categorized based on the methods of treatment used, such as open reduction and internal fixation techniques or closed therapy.

Result

A total number of 398 patients (298 male, 100 female) aged between 1 and 86 years old were enrolled in this study. Road traffic accident dominant all other causes of injury in 292 cases (73.4%), where fall from height came second cause of injury in 56 cases (14.1%), while the blast injury and personal interaction came at last in 47 cases (11.8%) and 3 cases (0.8%) respectively. As seen in chart 1.



Chart 1: The etiology of facial fractures

The fractures identified according to anatomic location, mandibular fracture was dominant in 193 cases (48.5%), second came zygomatic fracture in 82 cases (20.6%), nasal fracture came third in 50 cases (12.6%), while Lefort fracture came forth in 47 cases (11.8%), and orbital fracture came last in 25 cases (6.3%) as seen in chart 2.



Chart 2: Anatomical distribution of facial fractures

Mandibular fractures (193 cases) further classified according to the system described by Ivy and Curtis. Fracture of body of mandible was dominant in 121 cases (62.7%), para symphysis mandibular fracture came second in 26 cases (13.5%), came third condyle fracture in 21cases (10.9%), while angle of the mandible came forth in 19 cases (9.8%) and symphyseal fracture came last in 6 cases (3.1%) as seen in chart 3.



Chart 3: Anatomical distribution of mandibular fracture

Treatment of 398 cases fall in to 2 categories, open reduction and internal fixation dominant in 322 cases (80.9%) and closed treatment protocol applied in 76 cases (19.1%) as seen in chart 4.



Chart 4: Treatment of facial fractures

Discussion

Epidemiological studies are essential for establishing guidelines to prevent facial trauma. In this study, we note that the youth category was the most affected, the age average was 24 years old and this is logical because they are more fearless, are more likely to being involved in risky situations by alcohol abuse, dangerous driving and interpersonal violence [21-23]. Our research showed a strong prevalence of men involvement in maxillofacial trauma ^[4]. This result is probably since men participate more actively in social activities and are consequently more susceptible to traffic accidents, interpersonal violence, accidents at work and during the practice of sports and this result is similar to those of Sherer et al. ^[24]. In the present study, we also note that traffic-related accidents stand out, which represent more than 50% of the sample followed by fall from height accidents. This observation agree the results of Braustein1. There is a tendency to reduce traffic accidents in many countries due to the application of stricter laws regarding the use of helmets and implementation of driving under the influence laws ^[25].

The fracture sites with the highest frequency of involvement vary according to the survey period, geographic location and trauma mechanism. In the present study, fractures of the mandible was the most frequent and similar results can be found in the literature. In contrast, other researchers report zygomatic and nasal bones fractures as the main site affected (Massuia *et al.*, 2015).

These results emphasize the need for public policies looking to make population aware of traffic laws and the use of personal protective equipment. The study and dissemination of data related to the epidemiology of facial trauma is extremely important for the development of new guidelines in order to prevent new injuries, education and systematization of care ^[26-28]. It is worth noting that in such instances, open reduction internal fixation (ORIF) therapy was used more often than closed treatment. Because of its direct visualization of the joint surface and ease of implementation, ORIF remains the first-line therapy for completely displaced and rotated lateral condyle fractures. Furthermore, as CRIF is less intrusive and may reduce complications, it could be a practical choice for treating these kinds of fractures. However, consideration must be given to CRIF's technological challenges ^[29-31].

Conclusion

The results presented lead us to conclude that accidents involving means of transport were the most common cause associated to facial fractures of patients seen at Alshaheed Ghazi Alhariri specialized surgeries teaching hospital / medical city complex. Sex and etiological factors have an important relation to the role and activities of men and women in society, as well as the characteristics of facial trauma. Men were more affected than women, especially young adults (20-29 years), with the most fractured bones being the mandibular bone followed by the zygomatic and later by the bones of the nose.

Conflict of Interest

Not available

Financial Support

Not available

References

1. Miloro M, Ghali GE, Larsen PE, Waite P. Peterson's

principles of oral and maxillofacial surgery. 3rd ed. Shelton: People's Medical Publishing House-USA; c2012.

- 2. Kim HG, Son YH, Chung IK. Facial bone fracture patients visiting Pusan national university hospital in Busan and Y angsan: trends and risks. Maxillofac Plast Reconstr Surg. 2014;36:140-145.
- Gassner R, Tuli T, Hächl O, Rudisch A, Ulmer H. Craniomaxillofacial trauma: A 10 year review of 9,543 cases with 21,067 injuries. J Craniomaxillofac Surg. 2003;31:51-61.
- Girotto JA, MacKenzie E, Fowler C, Redett R, Robertson B, Manson PN. Long-term physical impairment and functional outcomes after complex facial fractures. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2001;108:312-327.
- Motamedi MH. An assessment of maxillofacial fractures: a 5-year study of 237 patients. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2003;61:61-64.
- Down KE, Boot DA, Gorman DF. Maxillofacial and associated injuries in severely traumatized patients: implications of a regional survey. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 1995;24:409-412.
- Haug RH, Prather J, Indresano AT. An epidemiologic survey of facial fractures and concomitant injuries. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 1990;48:926-932.
- Kieser J, Stephenson S, Liston PN, Tong DC, Langley JD. Serious facial fractures in New Zealand from 1979 to 1998. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2002;31:206-209. DOI: 10.1054/ijom.2002.0208.
- Lim LH, Lam LK, Moore MH, *et al.* Associated injuries in facial fractures: review of 839 patients. Br J Plast Surg. 1993;46:635. DOI: 10.1016/0007-1226(93)90191-D.
- Adebayo ET, Ajike OS, Adekeye EO. Analysis of the pattern of maxillofacial fractures in Kaduna, Nigeria. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2003;41(6):396-400.
- Adekeye EO. The pattern of fractures of the facial skeleton in Kaduna, Nigeria. A survey of 1,447 cases. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1980;49(6):491-495.
- 12. Chalya PL, McHembe M, Mabula JB, Kanumba ES, Gilyoma JM. Etiological spectrum, injury characteristics and treatment outcome of maxillofacial injuries in a Tanzanian teaching hospital. J Trauma Manag Outcomes. 2011;5(1):7.
- Ajike SO, Adebayo ET, Amanyiewe EU, Ononiwn CN. An epidemiologic survey of maxillofacial fractures and concomitant injuries in Kaduna, Nigeria. J Surg Res. 2005;7(3-4):251-255.
- 14. Umar KB, Shuja RA, Ahmad K, Mohammad TK, Abdus S. Occurrence and characteristics of maxillofacial injuries-A study. Pak Oral Dent J. 2010;30:57-71.
- 15. Al Ahmed HE, Jaber MA, Abu Fanas SH, Karas M. The pattern of maxillofacial fractures in Sharjah, United Arab Emirates: a review of 230 cases. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2004;98(2):166-170.
- Sirimaharaj W, Pyungtanasup K. The epidemiology of mandibular fractures treated at Chiang Mai University Hospital: a review of 198 cases. J Med Assoc Thai. 2008;91(6):868-874.
- 17. Ivy RH, Curtis L. Fractures of the mandible: An analysis of 100 cases. Dent Cosmos. 1926;68:439.
- 18. Le Fort R. Etude experimentale sur les fractures de la machoire suueriere. Rev Chir 23208. 1901 4.
- 19. Le Fort R. Etude experimentale sur.les fractures de la machoire superiere. Rev Chir 23:360, 1901 5.
- 20. Le Fort R: Etude experimentale sur les fractures de la machoire superiere. Rev Chir. 1901;23:479.

- 21. Arangio P, Vellone V, Torre U, Calafati V, Capriotti M, Cascone P. Maxillofacial fractures in the province of Latina, Lazio, Italy: review of 400 injuries and 83 cases. J Craniomaxillofac Surg. 2014 Jul;42(5):583-7.
- Cavalcante GMS, Bernardino ÍM, Nóbrega LMD, Ferreira RC, Ferreira E, Ferreira E, *et al.* Facial injuries and the gender issue: expressions of violence in a metropolitan region of 4.Northeastern Brazil. Braz Dent J. 2020 Sep/Oct;31(5):548-56
- Eggensperger NM, Danz J, Heinz Z, Iizuka T. Occupational maxillofacial fractures: a 3-year survey in central Switzerland. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2006 Feb;64(2):270-6.
- 24. Scherer, Mark, *et al.* An analysis of 1,423 facial fractures in 788 patients at an urban trauma center. Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery. 1989;29(3):388-390.
- Bhandari M, Tornetta P, Swiontkowksi MF. Evidencebased orthopaedic trauma working group. Displaced lateral condyle fractures of the distal humerus. J Orthop Trauma. 2003;17:306-8. DOI:10.1097/00005131-200304000-00012 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Abzug JM, Dua K, Kozin SH, Herman MJ. Current concepts in the treatment of lateral condyle fractures in children. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2020;28:e9-e19. DOI:10.5435/JAAOS-D-17-00815 [PubMed] [Cross Ref] [Google Scholar]
- 27. Carson S, Woolridge DP, Colletti J, Kilgore K. Pediatric upper extremity injuries. Pediatr Clin North Am. 2006;53:41-v. DOI:10.1016/j.pcl.2005.10.003 [PubMed] [Cross Ref] [Google Scholar]
- Song KS, Kang CH, Min BW, Bae KC, Cho CH, Lee JH. Closed reduction and internal fixation of displaced unstable lateral condylar 10.fractures of the humerus in children. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2008;90:2673-81. DOI:10.2106/JBJS.G.01227 [PubMed] [Cross Ref] [Google Scholar]
- 29. Fractures of the humerus in children. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2008;90:2673-81. DOI:10.2106/JBJS.G.01227 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- 30. Galvagno Junior SM, Nahmias JT, Young DA. Advanced trauma life support[®] update 2019: management and applications for adults and special populations. Anesthesiol Clin. 2019 Mar;37(1):13-32.
- Cavalcante GMS, Bernardino M, Nbrega LMD, Ferreira RC, Ferreira E, Ferreira E, *et al.* Facial injuries and the gender issue: expressions of violence in a metropolitan region of Northeastern Brazil. Braz Dent J. 2020 Sep/Oct;31(5):548-56.

How to Cite This Article

Albassam T, Salih HM, Ahmed T. Etiology of facial fractures in 398 patients treated in Baghdad. International Journal of Surgery Science. 2023;7(4):100-103.

Creative Commons (CC) License

This is an open-access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.