International Journal of Surgery Science 2025; 9(4): 45-48

E-ISSN: 2616-3470
P-ISSN: 2616-3462
Impact Factor (RJIF): 5.97

www.surgeryscience.com
2025; 9(4): 45-48
Received: 10-09-2025
Accepted: 13-10-2025

Dr. Ketan Vagholkar
Professor, Department of Surgery. D.Y.patil University School of Medicine, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author:
Dr. Ketan Vagholkar
Professor, Department of Surgery. D.Y.patil University School of Medicine, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

Wound Debridement: Facilitating wound healing

Ketan Vagholkar

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/surgery.2025.v9.i4.A.1249

Abstract
Wound management is one of the most challenging issues in surgical practice. Despite the availability of newer topical preparations for wound care, yet surgical debridement continues to be the most efficient technique to facilitate wound healing. The various techniques of wound debridement are presented in this review.

Keywords: Wound debridement, surgical, enzymatic, autolytic, biological

Introduction
Wound debridement is an integral part of wound management. Removal of devitalized or dead tissue is essential for the natural inflammatory process to proceed with wound healing. Various approaches to wound debridement and desloughing are in practice. However, understanding the mechanisms and indications for each technique is essential for optimum and timely healing of wounds. The article discusses the various types of debridement techniques, their indications and mechanisms.

Definition
Debridement is defined as removal of dead and devitalized tissue along with a rim of normal tissue till fresh bleeding is encountered. Desloughing refers to removal of slough. Irrespective of the technical definitions what matters is successful removal of all doubtful, devitalized and dead tissues.

Pathophysiology
The four fundamental steps which are necessary to facilitate wound healing are
1. Debridement /desloughing to remove all the dead tissue from the wound.
2. Control and eradication of infection in order to allow the normal process of inflammation to proceed to successful wound healing.
3. Control of moisture in the wound.
4. Periodic assessment of epithelization of the wound.

Devitalized tissues comprise necrotic tissue, slough, biofilm, bioburden and apoptotic cells. Debridement is removal of all the aforementioned elements.

Techniques of Debridement
A. Surgical or sharp debridement
This involves removal of all the devitalized tissues in the wound. These include slough, necrotic tissue including eschar. Foci of infection such as thick pus is also removed [1-3].

Types of Surgical Debridement
Sharp debridement: Using sterile surgical instruments for precise removal of dead tissue.
Focused debridement: Targeted removal of nonviable tissue while preserving healthy tissue.
Extensive debridement: For large or heavily necrotic wounds, sometimes requiring anesthesia or sedation.

Indications
1. Wounds with extensive necrosis, rigid eschar, or infection.

2. Chronic wounds unresponsive to conservative treatments.
3. Traumatic or surgical wounds with devitalized tissue.
4. To prepare a wound for grafting or secondary closure.

Advantages
1. Rapid removal of infected tissue.
2. Improves wound healing environment.
3. Reduces bacterial load.
4. Immediate visual assessment of wound tissue.

Risks
1. Bleeding or hemorrhage.
2. Damage to healthy tissue if not done carefully.
3. Pain and discomfort.
4. Sharp surgical instruments which include scissors, forceps and scalpel blade are used to achieve the purpose [1]. The surgery is performed usually under short general anesthesia if the patient's general condition permits. However, in dire circumstances it can be done as a bedside procedure. Identification of vital structures such as vessels, nerves and tendons is important. A conservative approach needs to be adopted in such situations to prevent damage to these structures [2]. Utmost care needs to be taken to avoid complications in the form of excessive bleeding and complications of anesthesia. The presence of a dry eschar devoid of infection should not tempt the surgeon for debridement [3]. The eschar provides a biological cover for the wound. It will separate once the underlying epithelization process is complete. Multiple sessions may be required in bad wounds until the quantum of dead and devitalized tissues is reduced to a bare minimum rendering it amenable for chemical desloughing.
5. Surgical or sharp debridement is to be followed by chemical or enzymatic debridement to get rid of all dead tissues completely.

B. Mechanical debridement

Mechanical debridement is a physical method of removing necrotic tissue, debris, and exudate from a wound by applying external force. It is often used for wounds with significant necrosis, slough, or debris that need to be cleared to promote healing [4, 5].

Techniques of Mechanical Debridement

Wet-to-dry dressings: Applying moistened dressings that are allowed to dry and then removed, pulling away necrotic tissue along with the dressing.

Scraping or blunt dissection: Using sterile instruments like curettes or gauze to manually remove debris.

Hydrotherapy (whirlpool or wound irrigation): Using controlled water jet or whirlpool baths to loosen and wash away debris.

Swab or gauze scrubbing: Physically rubbing the wound with gauze to remove nonviable tissue.

Characteristics

Non-selective: Can remove healthy tissue along with necrotic tissue if not performed carefully.

Often less precise: Requires skill and caution to avoid damaging healthy tissue.

Commonly used in combination: Often combined with other debridement techniques for optimal wound cleaning.

Advantages
1. Relatively simple and inexpensive.
2. Effective for removing superficial debris.
3. Can be performed at the bedside or clinic.

Disadvantages
1. May cause pain and trauma to the wound.
2. Non-selective, risking removal of healthy tissue.
3. Can be time-consuming and require multiple sessions.
4. This is a non-selective type of debridement which removes both devitalized tissues and debris including viable living tissues. It is done with a pulsatile lavage or wound irrigation. It can be used as an adjunct to surgical debridement [4]. Contraindications to this technique are, an intact eschar, presence of a few islands of granulation tissue and inability to control pain [5].

C. Enzymatic Debridement

Chemical debridement is a medical technique used to remove necrotic tissue and slough from wounds using chemical agents rather than surgical intervention. It helps to promote faster healing and reduce infection risk by breaking down dead tissue for easier removal [6, 7].

Common agents used in chemical debridement include

Enzymatic agents: Such as collagenase, which breaks down collagen in dead tissue.

Proteolytic enzymes: That digest necrotic tissue without harming healthy tissue.

Irrigants and solutions: Like sodium hypochlorite (diluted) or hydrogen peroxide, though use is more cautious due to potential tissue toxicity.

Chemical debridement is often preferred when surgical debridement is contraindicated or for areas where gentle removal is desired. It requires careful application and monitoring to avoid damaging healthy tissue.

Enzymatic debridement is a targeted method of removing necrotic tissue from wounds using specific enzymes that break down dead tissue while sparing healthy tissue. It is often used for wounds with significant necrosis that are difficult to debride surgically or when atraumatic removal is preferred [7].

Common Enzymatic Agents

Collagenase: The most widely used enzyme for enzymatic debridement. It specifically digests collagen in necrotic tissue, promoting wound cleaning.

Papain-urea formulations: Sometimes used, though less common, to enzymatically break down tissue.

Procedure

The wound is cleaned and dried.

The enzyme ointment or gel is applied directly onto the necrotic tissue.

A dressing is placed over the application to contain the enzyme.

The process is repeated over several days, depending on the amount of necrosis and wound response.

Advantages
Selective for dead tissue, minimizing damage to healthy tissue.
Painless, making it suitable for sensitive areas.
Can be used on wounds that are difficult to debride surgically.

Indications
1. Chronic wounds such as diabetic foot ulcers, pressure ulcers, or venous stasis ulcers.
2. Wounds with extensive necrosis that require gradual debridement.
3. Patients who are unfit for surgical debridement.

Precautions
1. Allergic reactions are rare but possible.
2. Not suitable for wounds with exposed blood vessels or in patients with certain allergies.
3. Regular monitoring is essential to assess progress.

D. Autolytic Debridement
Autolytic debridement is a natural, selective process that uses the body's own enzymes and moisture to break down and liquefy necrotic tissue. It is considered a gentle and atraumatic method suitable for wounds with light to moderate necrosis and for patients who cannot tolerate surgical or more invasive debridement methods [8, 9].

Mechanism
1. The wound is kept moist with the application of specific dressings that facilitate the body's own enzymes to digest necrotic tissue.
2. Hydrocolloid, transparent film, hydrogels, or alginates are commonly used dressings that promote an environment conducive to autolysis.
3. As the necrotic tissue liquefies, it can be easily removed with dressing changes [9].

Advantages
Selective and safe: Only necrotic tissue is targeted, preserving healthy tissue.

Pain-free: Generally painless, making it suitable for sensitive wounds.

Minimal intervention: Does not require sharp instruments or anesthesia.

Simple to perform: Can be done in a clinical or home setting.

Indications
1. Dry, necrotic wounds with minimal infection.
2. Chronic wounds such as pressure ulcers, diabetic foot ulcers, or venous stasis ulcers.
3. Patients who are frail or unfit for surgical debridement.

Disadvantages
1. Takes longer than surgical or enzymatic debridement.
2. Less effective in infected wounds with high bioburden.
3. May require multiple dressing changes over days to weeks.
4. This is an extremely conservative type of debridement which relies predominantly on the inherent inflammatory process of phagocytic cells and cellular release of proteolytic enzymes to breakdown necrotic tissues. Only the necrotic tissue will be targeted in this form of debridement. It is useful only in non-infected wounds. It necessitates a normal immune system and good vascularity of tissues. The wound environments need to be moist. This technique is indicated for wounds which contain limited amount of devitalized tissues. Autolytic debridement is slow process and may take quite some time. Hence it has limited application as compared to other techniques.

E. Biological debridement
Biological debridement involves the use of living organisms, typically maggot therapy, to remove necrotic tissue from wounds. It is a natural, highly effective, and selective method of debridement [10, 11].

Mechanism
1. Medicinal maggots (*Lucilia sericata*): These sterile larvae are applied to the wound.
2. Maggots secrete enzymes that liquefy dead tissue, which they then ingest.
3. They also produce antimicrobial substances that help reduce bacterial bioburden.
4. The maggots selectively consume necrotic tissue while sparing healthy tissue.

Advantages
Highly selective: Targets only necrotic tissue.
Effective in stubborn or infected wounds: Especially where other methods have failed.

Reduces bacterial load: Bactericidal effects decrease infection risk.

Minimal discomfort: Often well-tolerated by patients.

Indications
1. Chronic wounds with necrosis and biofilm.
2. Diabetic foot ulcers, pressure ulcers, and venous stasis ulcers.
3. Wounds unresponsive to other debridement methods.

Precautions
1. Contraindicated in dry, clean wounds with minimal necrosis.
2. Patients with allergies to maggots or other sensitivities.
3. Must be used under medical supervision, with sterile larvae.

Procedure
1. The wound is cleaned, and sterile maggots are applied.
2. They are confined with a dressing and left for 48-72 hours.
3. The larvae are removed, and the wound is reassessed.
4. Multiple applications may be needed over time.

F. Chitosan
Chitosan is a natural biopolymer derived from chitin, which is found in the exoskeletons of crustaceans like shrimp, crabs, and lobsters [12]. It has various biomedical applications, including wound care, due to its biocompatibility, biodegradability, and biodefense properties.

Properties of Chitosan
1. Promotes hemostasis (blood clotting).
2. Exhibits antimicrobial activity against bacteria and fungi.
3. Enhances wound healing by stimulating cellular activity.
4. Forms films, gels, or dressings that create a moist wound environment.

Use in Wound Healing

1. **Wound dressings:** Chitosan-based dressings help protect wounds, absorb exudate, and promote healing.
2. **Antimicrobial effect:** Reduces infection risk without the use of traditional antibiotics.
3. **Hemostatic properties:** Useful in controlling bleeding.

Advantages in Wound Management

1. Promotes faster healing.
2. Reduces infection and inflammation.
3. Supports tissue regeneration.

Applications

1. Chronic wounds such as diabetic ulcers.
2. Surgical dressings.
3. Burn treatment.
4. Chitosan is considered safe and well-tolerated, making it a promising material for advanced wound dressings.

Table 1: Summary of various debridement techniques

Technique	Response time	Tissue selectivity	Pain & discomfort	Indications
Surgical (sharp)	Fast	Non-selective	Yes	Extensive necrosis; deep or infected wounds
Mechanical	Moderate	Non-selective	Yes	Superficial necrosis, debris removal
Autolytic	Slow	Highly selective	No	Sensitive wounds, minimal necrosis
Enzymatic	Moderate	Selective	No	Chronic wounds with necrosis, biofilm issues
Biological (maggots)	Moderate to slow	Very selective	No	Stubborn, infected or necrotic wounds

Conclusion

Surgical debridement is the mainstay of wound care in majority of wounds. However other modalities are useful adjuncts to surgical debridement. Proper selection of the technique based on the merits of the wound is essential for facilitation of wound healing.

Conflict of interest: None.

Funding: Nil.

References

1. Steed DL. Debridement. American Journal of Surgery. 2004 May;187(5A):71S-74S. DOI: 10.1016/S0002-9610(03)00307-6. PMID: 15147995.
2. Granick M, Boykin J, Gamelli R, Schultz G, Tenenhaus M. Toward a common language: surgical wound bed preparation and debridement. Wound Repair and Regeneration. 2006 May-Jun;14(Suppl 1):S1-S10. DOI: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2005.00096.x. PMID: 16939471.
3. Giannoudis P. Surgical wound management. Injury. 2007 Dec;38(Suppl 5):S1-S2. DOI: 10.1016/j.injury.2007.10.033. Epub 2007 Nov 28. PMID: 18048032.
4. Moore Z. Mechanical debridement: a brief overview. British Journal of Nursing. 2015 Jun 25-Jul 8;24(12 Suppl):S38-S40. DOI: 10.12968/bjon.2015.24.Sup12.S38. PMID: 26110988.
5. Gwynne B, Newton M. An overview of the common methods of wound debridement. British Journal of Nursing. 2006 Oct 26-Nov 8;15(19 Suppl):S4-S10. DOI: 10.12968/bjon.2006.15.Sup4.22112. PMID: 17167375.
6. Ramundo J, Gray M. Enzymatic wound debridement. Journal of Wound, Ostomy and Continence Nursing. 2008 May-Jun;35(3):273-280. DOI: 10.1097/01.WON.0000319125.21854.78. PMID: 18496083.
7. Lewis R, Whiting P, ter Riet G, O'Meara S, Glanville J. A rapid and systematic review of the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of debriding agents in treating surgical wounds healing by secondary intention. Health Technology Assessment. 2001;5(14):1-131. DOI: 10.3310/hta5140. PMID: 11399237.
8. Cuschieri L, Debosz J, Miiller P, Celis M. Autolytic debridement of a large, necrotic, fully occluded foot ulcer using a hydrocolloid dressing in a diabetic patient. Advances in Skin and Wound Care. 2013 Jul;26(7):300-304. DOI: 10.1097/01.ASW.0000429779.48138.c0. PMID: 23777879.
9. Gethin G, Cowman S, Kolbach DN. Debridement for venous leg ulcers. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2015 Sep 14;2015(9):CD008599. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD008599.pub2. PMID: 26368002; PMCID: PMC6486053.
10. Yusuf MA, Ibrahim BM, Oyebanji AA, Abubakar F, Ibrahim M, Ibrahim Jalo R, *et al*. Maggot debridement therapy and complementary wound care: a case series from Nigeria. Journal of Wound Care. 2022 Nov 2;31(11):996-1005. DOI: 10.12968/jowc.2022.31.11.996. PMID: 36367805.
11. Mumcuoglu KY. Clinical applications for maggots in wound care. American Journal of Clinical Dermatology. 2001;2(4):219-227. DOI: 10.2165/00128071-200102040-00003. PMID: 11705249.
12. Zhang W, Geng X, Qin S, Xie Z, Li W, Li J. Research progress and application of chitosan dressings in hemostasis: A review. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules. 2024 Dec;282(Pt 1):136421. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2024.136421. Epub 2024 Oct 9. PMID: 39389479.

How to Cite This Article
Vagholkar K. Wound Debridement: Facilitating wound healing. International Journal of Surgery Science. 2025;9(4):45-48.