



E-ISSN: 2616-3470
P-ISSN: 2616-3462
© Surgery Science
www.surgeryscience.com
2019; 3(2): 142-144
Received: 10-02-2019
Accepted: 15-03-2019

Dr. Nilesh Kumar Dehariya
Assistant Professor, Department of
General Surgery, Index Medical
College Hospital & Research
Centre, Indore, Madhya Pradesh,
India

Dr. Arvind Ghanghoria
Professor, Department of General
Surgery, MGM Medical College &
MY Hospital, Indore,
Madhya Pradesh, India

To study the relationship between mode of injury and clinical presentation in blunt abdominal trauma at MGM medical college & M.Y. hospital, Indore

Dr. Nilesh Kumar Dehariya and Dr. Arvind Ghanghoria

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/surgery.2019.v3.i2c.29>

Abstract

Background: This study carried out in the Department of Surgery, MGM Medical College & M.Y. Hospital Indore both retrospectively and prospectively in patients with Blunt abdominal trauma.

Result: 35 patients out of 250 patients i.e. 14% of patients with BAT came to hospital with feature of shock was considered as B.P. below 90mm of Hg. Maximum numbers of patients (88) were presented with P/A Guarding, tenderness localised at site of BAT i.e. 35.2% 51 patients out of 250 patients i.e. 20.4% presented with head injury and with neurological sign and symptoms like unconsciousness, unequal pupil, vomiting etc. There only 50 patients i.e. 20% which are presented with respiratory distress as associated complaints. 76 patients i.e. 30.4% of BAT presented as pain in abdomen and localised tenderness on clinical examination. Majority of the BAT were accidental in nature comprising 154 cases i.e. 61.6%. Second common injury are fall from height comprises 45 cases i.e. 18% and least common are assault by hard and blunt object and falling hard and blunt object over body comprises 18-18 cases i.e. 7.2% respectively. In retrospective group accidental cases were 49 i.e. 61.25% in prospective groups accidental cases were 105 i.e. 61.765%. In retrospective group falling hard and blunt object over body comprises 13, cases i.e. 7.6%. In prospective groups assault by hard and blunt object comprises 5 cases i.e. 6.25%.

Conclusion: Incidence of blunt abdominal trauma can be reduced by improving the social morale of people especially the younger generation by providing Good education, Preventing Alcohol Abuse, Proper law enforcement and some form of penalty regarding proper vehicle driving. 35 patients out of 250 patients i.e. 14% of patients with BAT came to hospital with feature of shock was considered as B.P. below 90mm of Hg. The BAT were accidental in nature comprising 154 cases i.e. 61.6%. Second common injuries are fall from height comprises 45 cases i.e. 18%.

Keywords: Injury, blunt abdominal trauma & clinical

Introduction

Trauma defines as cellular disruption caused by an exchange with environment energy that is beyond the body resilience.

Blunt abdominal trauma has become frequent in our society. It may be accidental, suicidal and homicidal also however, first one is very frequent, and all can lead to death. Injury by blunt object group include patient who sustained injury due to assault by fists and blows, lathi, iron rods/kicks or received accidental animal kicks over abdomen or got injured due to fall of some heavy object like log, gravel, got buried in mine or had rolled down the stairs or stumbled over some blunt object^[1&2].

Patients with apparently minor injuries at the first presentation may turn out to be more severely injured than initially assumed and/or assessed³. Failure to identify such patients during the initial assessment can result in under-treatment and constitutes a serious threat to patient safety. Additional insights in the relationship between clinical parameters that are readily available to the clinician at the first presentation and injury severity may be useful to identify patients at risk and to determine monitoring requirements.

Material & Method

This study carried out in the Department of Surgery, MGM Medical College & M.Y. Hospital Indore both retrospectively and prospectively in patients with Blunt abdominal trauma over the period of for 02 years with co-operation of the staff of (1) Medicolegal section (2) Central record

Correspondence

Dr. Arvind Ghanghoria
Professor, Department of General
Surgery, MGM Medical College &
MY Hospital, Indore,
Madhya Pradesh, India

room and the help of the residents looking after the admitted patients.

The study comprises 02 year prospective study and 3 year of retrospective study covering a period of 03 years. The present study include 250 cases of blunt abdominal trauma admitted to MGM Medical College & M.Y. Hospital Indore.

On admission to hospital patient s name, age, sex, address, registration number, and, date and time of admission, length of delay in treatment taken noted and Nature of weapon also noted.

If patient was conscious and not under the effect of any narcotic drug or alcohol his presenting complaint, detail history and time of trauma noted mainly pain, vomiting, distension of abdomen, hematuria, air hunger etc. exact site of injury was recorded according to region mentioned.

Injury by blunt object group include patient who sustained injury due to assault by fists and blows, lathi, iron rods/kicks or received accidental animal kicks over abdomen or got injured due to fall of some heavy object like log, gravel, got buried in mine or had rolled down the stairs or stumbled over some blunt object.

Patient who reported to hospital with history of blunt abdominal trauma but on examination showed no distant symptoms and sign of abdominal injury and/or give conservative line of treatment, and in due course showed improvement, without any deterioration and not admitted in hospital are excluded from study.

Inclusion Criteria

This series includes all homicidal, suicidal and accidental, blunt abdominal trauma. Of those who were admitted, the records

were collected from the central record room and ward paper were also studied operative notes.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed with IBM Software SPSS Version 20.0 & in MS Office Excel Sheet.

Results

Table 1: Incidence of Clinical Feature

Clinial presentation	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
SHOCK	3	4	9	4	12	3	35
P/A Guarding tenderness	4	15	23	16	20	10	88
Respiratory distress	9	3	9	14	10	5	50
Localised tenderness	11	12	10	18	15	10	76
Neurological sign	11	5	4	18	6	7	51
Total	38	39	55	70	53	35	300

Table 01 shows that, 35 patients out of 250 patients i.e.14% of patients with BAT came to hospital with feature of shock was considered as B.P. below 90mm of Hg. Maximum numbers of patients (88) were presented with P/A Guarding, tenderness localised at site of BAT i.e 35.2% 51 patients out of 250 patients i.e 20.4% presented with head injury and with neurological sign and symptoms like unconciouness, unequal pupil, vomiting etc. There only 50 patients i.e 20% which are presented with respiratory distress as associated complaints. 76 patients i.e 30.4% of BAT presented as pain in abdomen and localised tenderness on clinical examination.

Table 2: Ralative Incidence of Mode

Year Mode	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
RTA	24	27	20	34	30	19	154
Assault	3	5	2	5	2	1	18
Fall	7	10	7	7	8	6	45
Fallingblunt object over body	6	4	--	3	4	1	18
Other	5	6	--	5	6	3	25
Total	35	52	29	54	50	30	250

This table no. 02 shows that majority of the BAT were accidental in nature comprising 154 cases i.e. 61.6%. Second common injury are fall from height comprises 45 cases i.e. 18% and least common are assault by hard and blunt object and falling hard and blunt object over body comprises 18-18 cases i.e. 7.2 respectively %. In retrospective group accidental cases were 49 i.e. 61.25% in prospective groups accidental cases were 105 i.e. 61.765%. In retrospective group falling hard and blunt object over body comprises 13, cases i.e. 7.6%. In prospective groups assault by hard and blunt object comprises 5 cases i.e. 6.25%.

Discussion

Our study shows that majority of the blunt injury of abdomen were accidental in nature comprising 154 cases i.e. 61.6%. Second common injury are fall from height comprises 45 cases i.e. 18% and least common are assault by hard and blunt object and falling hard and blunt object over body comprises 18-18 cases i.e. 7.2 respectively %.

In retrospective group accidental cases were 49 i.e. 61.25% in prospective groups accidental cases were 105 i.e. 61.765%.

In retrospective group falling hard and blunt object over body comprises 13, cases i.e. 7.6%. In prospective groups assault by hard and blunt object comprises 5 cases i.e. 6.25%.

Most of the patients in our study mechanism of injury a retrospective analysis of 71 patients of BAT who were admitted in Kempegowda Institute of Medical Sciences hospital (KIMS, Bangalore, India) within a span of 18 months was done [4].

Over a 14-year period 587 children under 13 years of age were admitted with blunt injury to the abdomen. Twenty-nine (4.9 per cent) of these were found to have bowel rupture. 59 per cent had a concomitant injury which resulted in two deaths (from head injury) [5].

A total of 926 patients were treated for blunt trauma by the Pietermaritzburg metropolitan services in South Africa during the period September 2006 - September 2007 were included for review. The mechanisms of injury were motor vehicle accident (MVA) (27), pedestrian vehicle accident (PVA) (21), assault (5), fall from a height (3), bicycle accident (6), quad bike accident (1) and tractor-related accident (2) [6&7].

Estimates indicate that by 2020, injuries from traffic collisions will be the third most common cause of disability worldwide and the second most common cause in the developing world.

Conclusion

Incidence of blunt abdominal trauma can be reduced by Improving the social morale of people especially the younger generation by providing Good education, Preventing Alcohol

Abuse, Proper law enforcement and some form of penalty regarding proper vehicle driving. 35 patients out of 250 patients i.e. 14% of patients with BAT came to hospital with feature of shock was considered as B.P. below 90mm of Hg. The BAT were accidental in nature comprising 154 cases i.e. 61.6%. Second common injury are fall from height comprises 45 cases i.e. 18%.

References

1. Rosen Peter, John J, Ratey MD Marx, John A, Robert I, Simon MD *et al.* Rosen's emergency medicine: concepts and clinical practice. St. Louis, Mo: Mosby/Elsevier. 2010, 456-7.
2. Easter JS, Haukoos JS, Meehan WP, Novack V, Edlow JA. Will neuroimaging reveal a severe intracranial injury in this adult with minor head trauma?: the rational clinical examination systematic review. *Jama.* 2015; 314(24):2672-2681.
3. Cirocchi R, Corsi A, Castellani E, Barberini F, Renzi C, Cagini L, Boselli C *et al.* Case series of non-operative management vs. operative management of splenic injury after blunt trauma. 2014; 20(2):91-6.
4. Mehta N, Babu S, Venugopal K. An experience with blunt abdominal trauma: evaluation, management and outcome. 2014; 18:4(2):599.
doi: 10.4081/cp.2014.599. eCollection 2014
5. Brown RA, Bass DH, Rode H, Millar AJ, Cywes S. Gastrointestinal tract perforation in children due to blunt abdominal trauma. 1992; 79(6):522-4.
6. Howes N, Walker T, Allorto NL, Oosthuizen GV, Clarke DL. Laparotomy for blunt abdominal trauma in a civilian trauma service. 2012; 29:50(2):30.
7. Oyo-Ita A, Ugare UG, Ikpeme IA. Surgical versus non-surgical management of abdominal injury, 2012. 14;11:CD007383.