



E-ISSN: 2616-3470

P-ISSN: 2616-3462

© Surgery Science

[www.surgeryscience.com](http://www.surgeryscience.com)

2020; 4(2): 609-611

Received: 05-02-2020

Accepted: 09-03-2020

**Surya Rao Rao Venkata Mahipathy**  
Professor and Head, Department of  
Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery,  
Saveetha Medical College & Hospital,  
Thandalam, Kanchipuram, Tamil  
Nadu, India

**Alagar Raja Durairaj**  
Professor, Department of Plastic &  
Reconstructive Surgery, Saveetha  
Medical College & Hospital,  
Thandalam, Kanchipuram, Tamil  
Nadu, India

**Narayanamurthy Sundaramurthy**  
Associate Professor, Department of  
Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery,  
Saveetha Medical College & Hospital,  
Thandalam, Kanchipuram, Tamil  
Nadu, India

**Anand Prasath Jayachandiran**  
Assistant Professor, Department of  
Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery,  
Saveetha Medical College & Hospital,  
Thandalam, Kanchipuram, Tamil  
Nadu, India

**Praveen Ganesh Natarajan**  
Assistant Professor & Maxillofacial  
Surgeon, Department of Plastic &  
Reconstructive Surgery, Saveetha  
Medical College & Hospital,  
Thandalam, Kanchipuram, Tamil  
Nadu, India

**James Solomon Jesudasan**  
Assistant Professor, & Maxillofacial  
Surgeon, Department of Plastic &  
Reconstructive Surgery, Saveetha  
Medical College & Hospital,  
Thandalam, Kanchipuram, Tamil  
Nadu, India

**Centina Rose John**  
Fellow, Craniomaxillofacial Trauma,  
Dept. of Plastic & Reconstructive  
Surgery, Saveetha Medical College &  
Hospital, Thandalam, Kanchipuram,  
Tamil Nadu, India

#### Corresponding Author:

**Surya Rao Rao Venkata Mahipathy**  
Professor and Head, Department  
of Plastic & Reconstructive  
Surgery, Saveetha Medical College  
& Hospital, Thandalam,  
Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu, India

## Aesthetic reconstruction in subtotal nasal defect following excision of basal cell carcinoma with bilateral nasolabial flaps: A case report

**Surya Rao Rao Venkata Mahipathy, Alagar Raja Durairaj,  
Narayanamurthy Sundaramurthy, Anand Prasath Jayachandiran, Praveen  
Ganesh Natarajan, James Solomon Jesudasan and Centina Rose John**

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/surgery.2020.v4.i2g.449>

#### Abstract

The use of nasolabial flap to reconstruct nasal soft tissue defects is one of the ancient methods for reconstruction in the medical literature. Over time different modifications have been introduced to expand its usage. Here, we present a case of basal cell carcinoma of the nose for which wide local excision and reconstruction of the subunit was done using bilateral nasolabial flaps.

**Keywords:** Basal cell carcinoma, nasal, nasolabial flaps, wide local excision

#### Introduction

Nasal reconstruction is a challenging task as it is the most prominent and aesthetic concern of the face [1]. Defects of the soft tissues of the nose result from trauma, infections and after tumor excision. Defects following tumor excision are usually difficult to restore, especially of the nasal ala which present challenge to the plastic surgeon [2]. Different reconstructive methods include skin grafts, local flaps, distant flaps and free flaps [2, 3]. Attempts at nasal restoration were made thousands of years ago by Indian and Egyptian surgeons who tried to reconstruct the cut part or the entire nose with distant flaps. Those ancient surgeons made use of either soft tissue from the forearm or forehead to reconstruct the amputated nose [4]. Full thickness skin grafts are usually used for superficial defects, the advantage being the ease of application and better graft take. However the graft hypertrophy, contracture and color mismatch are certain associated disadvantages [4]. The forehead flap is usually the mainstay in reconstruction of full thickness defects, but it often leads to a bulky repair of the ala [5]. Various flaps like free style facial artery perforator flap, lateral nasal artery pedicle flap, microvascular reconstruction of nasal ala by using a reversed superficial temporal artery auricular flap, cheek to nose interpolation flap, and frontonasal flap are all have been described with optimal results, however these flaps require expert hands for execution [6-10]. Taking into consideration the nasal subunit principle, local flaps with adequate donor site has become a well-accepted option in the reconstruction of nose [10]. The nasolabial flap is a work horse flap for reconstructing moderate facial defects [11-13]. The flap is based on the angular branch of facial artery, the infraorbital artery and the transverse facial artery [9]. The flap can be superiorly based to reconstruct defects on the cheek, nasal sidewall or dorsum, ala, columella and the lower eyelid. Inferiorly based flaps can be used to reconstruct defects in the upper lip, anterior floor of the mouth and the lower lip [2]. The nasolabial flaps are robust hairless flaps, easy to dissect, elevate and inset and is a single stage procedure and its proximity to the nose provides a good color and texture match [2]. The nasolabial flap withstands radiotherapy due to its excellent vascularity and the procedure can be performed under local anesthesia.

#### Case report

A 75 year old elderly female, farmer by occupation presented to us with an ulcerated lesion on the right side of the nose for about 8 years duration. The ulcer was associated with pain, purulent discharge and occasional bleeding.

There was no nasal block or disturbance in perception of smell. On examination, a 2.5 x 3 cm ulcero-proliferative lesion with irregular margin was present involving the lower half of dorsum, lateral wall, ala, soft triangle and part of cheek on the right side and crossing the midline above the tip. The nasal cavity was narrowed with extension to mucosal aspect.(Fig. 1)



**Fig 1:** Frontal & lateral photographs showing the ulcero-proliferative growth

The edge biopsy proved to be basal cell carcinoma. We planned for wide local excision with 5 mm clearance margins and reconstructive options were bilateral nasolabial flaps for lining and cover, nasolabial flap for lining and forehead flap for cover or scalping flap for lining and cover. We proceeded with wide local excision and the defect was a full thickness loss measuring 2.5 x 2 cm for lining and 3.5 x 3 cm for cover. (Fig. 2)



**Fig 2:** Defect after

We proceeded with bilateral nasolabial flaps for lining and cover. Histopathology confirmed the diagnosis and margins were free of tumour. The patient was discharged on the 10<sup>th</sup> post-operative day after suture removal. A second surgery was done for flap division and inset at end of 3 weeks. (Fig. 3) After 2 months, flap thinning was done.(Fig. 4)



**Fig 3:** Photograph after flap division and inset (frontal & worm's eye view)



**Fig 4:** Late post-operative picture after 3 months

## Discussion

The anatomy of the nasolabial region is complex. Nasolabial crease runs obliquely from approximately 1 cm superior to the lateral alar rim to approximately 1 cm lateral to the corner of the mouth. Four muscles of expression present in this region are part of levator labii superioris, levator labii superioris alaque nasi, zygomatic major and minor muscles. Extensive subdermal vascular plexus is present supplied from four arteries; facial, angular, infraorbital and transverse facial [14]. This flap can be used unilaterally or bilaterally in the form of superiorly, inferiorly or centrally based pedicle flap [13]. In the superiorly based nasolabial flap, the base of the flap is near the ala and the apex is in line with the oral commissure [15]. Sometimes when an extra length of about 10–12 cm is needed, it can be extended to the skin over the mandibular border. This variant of the superiorly based nasolabial flap is called extended nasolabial flap [16]. In the inferiorly based nasolabial flap the apex of the flap is 5–7 mm lateral to the medial canthus [17]. At times, the nasolabial flap is designed medial to the nasolabial fold. This has two indications, one when the nasolabial flap is used for reconstruction of the upper lip (lateral subunit) and lateral limit of the resected skin falls medial to the nasolabial fold and the other when it is used for nasal floor reconstruction in cleft patients [18, 19]. The majority of the nasolabial flaps are random pattern, but can be designed to have an axial pattern blood supply from the inferiorly based axial nasolabial flap that is nourished by facial artery and the superiorly based reverse flow nasolabial flap containing angular artery [20]. The other name for this axial pattern flap is retroangular flap [21-23]. The orbitonasolabial flap is a superiorly based, reverse flow flap based on the angular artery and the boundaries of this flap crosses the skin overlying the nasolabial region up to the medial canthus [24]. The composite nasolabial flap is prelaminated with skin and is supported by cartilage [25]. Applications for this variant of nasolabial flap is exclusively

limited to the nasal reconstruction. Nasolabial gate flap is special inferiorly based musculocutaneous transposition flap that can be used for functional reconstruction of the lower lip [26]. Nasolabial flaps can be used in the form of the advancement, rotation or transposition flaps. Periosteal suspension sutures and minimal eversion of the skin during closure of donor site is advised to prevent flat cheek formation [27].

### Conclusion

The nasolabial flap is a very reliable flap for the soft tissue coverage of nasal defects. The flap gives an excellent texture and color match. The flap donor site lies in the same operating field and can be closed primarily. The least donor site morbidity and a lesser conspicuous scar have made it the preferred choice for nasal ala reconstruction, especially in elderly patients.

### References

1. Sing DJ, Bartlett SP. Aesthetic considerations in nasal reconstruction and the role of modified nasal subunits. *Plast Reconstr.* 2003; 111:639-48.
2. El-Marakby HH. The Versatile Naso-Labial Flaps in Facial Reconstruction: Journal of the Egyptian Nat Can Inst. 2005; 17:245-50.
3. Sohn WI, Choi JY, Seo BF, Jung SN. Reconstruction of nasal ala with nasolabial perforator flap after cancer removal. *Head Neck Oncol.* 2012; 4(4):83-7.
4. Beare RL, Bennett JP. The nasolabial full thickness graft. *Br J Plast Surg.* 1992; 25:315-7.
5. Massoud KS. Reconstruction of Full-Thickness Alar Defect by the Turnover Nasolabial Flap: Improving the Outcome by Primary Flap-Thinning and Unilateral Alar Base Suturing. *Egypt, J Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* 2009; 33(1):15-9.
6. D'arpa S, Cordova A, Pirrello R, Moschella F. Free style facial artery perforator flap for one stage reconstruction of the nasal ala. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg.* 2009; 62:36-42.
7. Turan A, Kul Z, Turkaslan T. Reconstruction of lower half defects of the nose with the lateral nasal artery pedicle nasolabial island flap. *Plast Reconstr Surge.* 2007; 119(6):1767-72.
8. Li S, Cao W, Cheng K. Microvascular reconstruction of nasal ala using a reversed superficial Temporal artery auricular flap. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg.* 2006; 59(12):1300-4.
9. Ikeda C, Katakura A, Yamamoto N, Kamiyama I, Shibahara T, Onoda *et al.* Nasolabial flap reconstruction of the floor of mouth. *Bull Tokyo Dent Coll.* 2007; 48:187-92.
10. Hamdy H, El-Marakby H. The Versatile Naso-Labial Flaps in Facial Reconstruction. *Journal of the Egyptian Nat. Cancer Inst.* 2005; 17:245-50.
11. Irfanullah, Khan A, Khan N, Shah SA, Tahmeedullah. Indications and Outcome of Nasolabial Flap: Experience at a Plastic Surgical Facility. *Ann. Pak. Inst. Med. Sci.* 2012; 8(3):168-71.
12. Singh S, Singh RK, Pandey M. Nasolabial flap reconstruction in oral cancer. *World Journal of Surgical Oncology.* 2012; 10:227. doi:10.1186/1477-7819-10-227
13. Weathers WM, Wolfswinkel EM, Nguyen H, Thornton JF. Expanded Uses for the Nasolabial Flap. *Semin Plast Surg.* 2013; 27:104-9.
14. Whetzel TP, Mathes SJ. Arterial anatomy of the face: an analysis of vascular territories and perforating cutaneous vessels. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* 1992; 89:591-603.
15. Field LM. Design concepts for the nasolabial flap. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* 1983; 71:283-285.
16. Borle RM, Nimonkar PV, Rajan R. Extended nasolabial flaps in the management of oral submucous fibrosis. *Br. J oral Maxillofac. Surg.* 2009; 47:382-385.
17. Ducic Y, Burye M. Nasolabial flap reconstruction of oral cavity defects: a report of 18 cases. *J Oral Maxillofac. Surg. Off. J Am. Assoc. Oral Maxillofac. Surg.* 2000; 58:1104-110.
18. Bento M, Carmo L, Trigo M, Rebelo N, Garcia P. The island nasolabial flap in philtrum reconstruction after bite avulsion: a case report. *J Plast. Reconstr. Aesthet. Surg. JPRAS.* 2009; 62:e487-9.
19. Rahpeyma S, Khajehahmadi. The last resort for reconstruction of nasal floor in difficult-to-repair alveolar cleft cases: a retrospective study. *J Cranio Maxillo Facial Surg.* 2014; 42:995-999.
20. Hynes JB, Boyd. The nasolabial flap. Axial or random? *Arch. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* 1988; 114:1389-1391.
21. Rose EH. One-stage arterialized nasolabial island flap for floor of mouth reconstruction. *Ann. Plastic Surg.* 1981; 6:71-75.
22. Le-Quang. Reversed vascular full-thickness nasolabial flap. *Ann. de Chir. Plast. Esthet.* 1994; 38:273-278.
23. Iida N, Ohsumi N, Tsutsumi Y, Tonegawa M. The full-thickness retroangular flap. *Ann. Plastic Surg.* 2000; 45:544-549.
24. Dagregorio G, Baraer F, Darsonval V. Seven reconstructions of the orbital and periorbital region with a contralateral orbit nasolabial flap. *Br. J Plast. Surg.* 2005; 58:940-943
25. Goldman GD, Kakinuma *et al.* A composite nasolabial flap for an entire ala reconstruction. *Dermatol. Surg.* 2002; 28:870-871
26. Fujimori R. Gate flap for the total reconstruction of the lower lip. *Bri. J Plast. Surg.* 1980; 33:340-345.
27. Zitelli JA. The nasolabial flap as a single-stage procedure. *Arch. Dermatol.* 1990; 126:1445-1448.