



# International Journal of Surgery Science

E-ISSN: 2616-3470

P-ISSN: 2616-3462

© Surgery Science

[www.surgeryscience.com](http://www.surgeryscience.com)

2020; 4(1): 480-483

Received: 05-12-2019

Accepted: 02-01-2020

**Dr. Sanjay Kumar**

Assistant Professor,

Department of Surgery, Glocal  
Medical College & Super Speciality  
Hospital, Mirzapur, Saharanpur,  
Haryana, India.

**Dr. Sanjeev Kumar Chabra**

Associate Professor, Department of  
Surgery, Glocal Medical College &  
Super Speciality Hospital,  
Mirzapur, Saharanpur, Haryana,  
India

## A study on C-reactive protein as a diagnostic parameter in acute appendicitis: A double blind study

**Sanjay Kumar and Sanjeev Kumar Chabra**

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/surgery.2020.v4.i1h.520>

### Abstract

**Background:** Although appendectomy is the most commonly done emergency surgery still its diagnosis remains an enigmatic challenge, with persisting high rate of negative explorations.

**Objective:** The objective of the study was to evaluate the role of accuracy of CRP measurement in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis and to reduce the morbidity by avoiding negative exploration.

**Methods:** The present study was conducted in 40 patients who have been clinically diagnosed by Surgeon's as having acute appendicitis and posted for emergency appendectomy in General Surgery Department, Glocal Medical College & Super Specialty Hospital. Preoperatively blood was sent for CRP estimation, after operation, all specimens were sent for histopathological examination, results of CRP were correlated with HPE reports to evaluate their role in diagnosis of acute appendicitis.

**Results:** In present study, CRP has highest sensitivity and specificity of 97.5% and 85% with positive predictive value of 96%. Hence it was proved that CRP level can be used to rule out negative appendicitis, so that surgery can be deferred in them and to reduce rate of negative appendectomies.

**Conclusion:** We continue to stress that history and clinical examination by a skilled surgeon still remain indispensable in diagnosing acute appendicitis, and its importance cannot be under mined. But CRP helps to reduce negative appendectomy rate drastically and hence should always be included in diagnostic work up of acute appendicitis.

**Keywords:** c-reactive protein, diagnostic parameter, acute appendicitis

### Introduction

Acute appendicitis is one of the most common causes of right iliac fossa pain and one of the most common cause of surgical emergencies. Its diagnosis is established by surgeon's clinical impression depending on presenting history, clinical evaluation and laboratory tests. Atypical presentations are not uncommon as many inflammatory and non-inflammatory conditions may mimic the presentation of acute appendicitis.

The classic triad of a history compatible with acute appendicitis, pain at McBurney's point and leucocytosis has diagnostic accuracy rate of less than 80 percent. And even when radiological techniques such as ultrasonography, computer tomography are included, the accuracy does not usually reach 90%. This is especially seen in females because of prevalence of pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) and other common obstetrical and gynaecological disorder and in the extremes of ages.

These factors resulted in relatively high rate of about 15-30% of negative explorations for acute appendicitis. And post-operative morbidity associated with these negative explorations is 5-15%. On one hand, a normal appendix at appendectomy represents a misdiagnosis, on the other hand, a delayed diagnosis of appendicitis may lead to perforation and peritonitis.

So traditionally surgeons have accepted a higher incidence of unnecessary appendectomies in order to decrease the incidence of perforations. This approach is being increasingly questioned in today's era of evidence based medicine. The high rate of negative explorations for appendicitis is a burden faced not only by the general surgeon, but also by the patient and the society as a whole, since appendectomy like any other operation results in socioeconomic impact in the form of hospital expenses, lost working days and declining productivity. The goal of surgical treatment is removal of an inflamed appendix before perforation with a minimal number of negative appendectomies.

To conclude as acute appendicitis may simulate many other acute abdominal conditions/illness and despite intensive clinical research and discussion, the diagnosis of acute appendicitis still remains a challenge.

**Corresponding Author:**

**Dr. Sanjeev Kumar Chabra**

Associate Professor, Department of  
Surgery, Glocal Medical College &  
Super Speciality Hospital,  
Mirzapur, Saharanpur, Haryana,  
India

And the exact diagnosis is important for proper management. C-reactive protein (CRP) together with other acute phase proteins, increases in response to tissue injury. Many reports have investigated the value of raised serum CRP measurement in improving the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. In this study we correlate the serum levels of CRP with the histopathology of the removed appendix. This study emphasizes the impact of normal rather than raised serum C-reactive protein in reducing the rate of negative explorations.

**Materials and Methods**

In this double blind study patients coming to General Surgery Department of Glocal Medical College and Super specialty, Mirzapur who are diagnosed clinically as to have acute appendicitis form the source of study. They were included after explaining them about the study and taking their written consent.

**Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria**

**Inclusion criteria**

All the patients who will be admitted to Glocal Medical College & Super specialty Hospital during the study period with diagnosis of acute appendicitis and posted for surgery are included in the study.

**Exclusion criteria**

1. Children below 12 years and elderly above 50 years will be excluded as the CRP response is not optimal.
2. Patients who are managed conservatively are excluded from this study.
3. Patients with past history of jaundice, signs and symptoms of liver disease, chronic alcoholism are excluded as CRP is exclusively produced in liver.
4. Females taking oral contraceptive pill or pregnant are excluded as CRP is elevated in these individuals.

**Method of collection of data**

Patient with history of acute abdominal pain were examined by a surgeon. For establishing the diagnosis, careful patient history was obtained at first. Physical examination of the patient by the surgeon was followed by some routine laboratory tests and radiographs.

In all the cases diagnosis was established by detecting right quadrant tenderness, guarding and rebound tenderness at physical examination. Thereafter it was decided by surgeon if emergency appendicectomy was necessary or not. Blood samples were drawn from all the patients who were diagnosed clinically as having acute appendicitis, for routine investigation as well as for CRP estimation. Serum CRP levels of all the patients were sent before operation which was done by latex agglutination method, normal value of serum CRP is 0.1 to 0.8 mg/dl, value above 2.5mg/dl, suggest an ongoing inflammatory process and were considered as positive. Patients with CRP levels <2.5mg/dl were considered as negative.

The results of measurement of CRP levels were not made available to the surgeons and were not taken into consideration for surgery, so as to compare diagnostic accuracy of CRP levels with surgeons clinical impression.

Appendix specimens were sent for histopathological examination to Department of Pathology, MMC&RI, operative findings and histopathological examination of appendicectomy specimens established final and exact diagnosis.

Accordingly true and false surgeons clinical diagnosis, true and false positive or negative serum CRP results were determined.

Based on these values specificity, sensitivity and accuracy of serum CRP concentrations were calculated.

**Tests for C-reactive protein level estimation**

CRP slide test for detection of CRP is based on the principle of agglutination. The test specimen (serum) is mixed with CRP latex reagent and allowed to react.

If CRP concentration is greater than 0.6mg/dl visible agglutination is observed. If CRP concentration is less than 0.6mg/dl, then no agglutination is observed. The latex slide test has the advantage of rapid performance in comparison to other tests for detection of CRP.

**Results and Analysis**

In our study 40 cases were included who were diagnosed as having acute appendicitis clinically by surgeons. They were told about the study and informed consent was taken.

**Table 1:** 40 cases were included who were diagnosed as having acute appendicitis clinically by surgeons

Age in years	No. of patients	Percentage
0-10	-	-
11-20	7	17.5%
21-30	15	37.5%
31-40	10	25%
41-50	8	20%
>50	-	-

In present series age of patient varied from 12-50 years. Maximum number of patients were in age group 21-30 years i.e. 20 patients that forms about 37.5% of study group.

**Table 2:** Sex ratio

	No. of patients	Percentage
Male	18	45%
Female	22	55%

In 50 cases that were included in our study 22 were females, and 18 were males i.e. 55% of females and 45% of males were studied.

**Table 3:** Signs and symptoms

Signs & symptoms	No. of patients	Percentage
Abdominal pain	36	90% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Right iliac fossa Umbilical	6	15% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Vomiting	32	80%
Fever	20	50%
Diarrhoea	2	5%
McBurney tenderness	35	87.5%
Rebound tenderness	30	75%
Shifting tenderness	8	20%

All the patients in our study presented with pain abdomen i.e.100%. Most common site of pain being right iliac fossa (90%).

Fever as a presenting complaint was present in 20 patients (50%).

Vomiting as presenting complaint was seen in 80% of patients (32 study patients).

In 87.5% of patients McBurneys point tenderness noted. Rebound tenderness noted in 75% of patients. Shifting tenderness was noted in very few patients. Only 20% of patient showed shifting tenderness.

**Table 4:** Position of appendix

Position of appendix	No. of patients	Percentage
Retrocaecal	25	62.5%
Pelvic	8	20%
Paracaecal	4	10%
Sub-caecal	1	2.5%
Preilial	1	2.5%
Post ilial	1	2.5%

Per operatively most common position of appendix was found to be retrocaecal in 25 patients, which form 62.5% of our study patients.

It corresponds to the most common site of appendix anatomically. Next common position was pelvic and it formed 20% of total study cases. Other sites such as paracaecal, subcaecal, preilial, post ilial were found to be least common positions in our study.

**Table 5:** Histopathology results

Histopathology of appendix	No. of patients	Percentage
Normal histology	5	12.5%
AC. Suppurative	25	62.5%
AC. catarrhal	5	12.5%
AC. gangrenous	5	12.5%

In our study the appendix specimen were sent for histopathological examination to Department of Pathology, MMC & RI, Mysore. Out of 40 patients, 5 patients had normal histopathological picture of appendix so our negative appendectomy rate was 12.5%.

Histopathologically 62.5% of patients had acute suppurative appendicitis. Remaining patients showed either acute catarrhal or acute gangrenous type of appendicitis.

**Table 6:** Correlation between CRP levels and histopathological findings

CRP level	CRP test				Total
	True		False		
	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative	
Elevated	34	-	1	-	35
Normal	-	4	-	1	5
	38		2		40

In present series 34 patients had elevated serum CRP level (>2.5 mg/dl) which is 95% of total study group. In these patients only one patient had high serum CRP level inspite of normal appendix that was proved histologically. The cause of raised serum level of CRP in this patient was mesenteric lymphadenitis which was found preoperatively.

Seven patients had normal serum CRP values i.e. 14% of patients. In these patients 4 85.7% had normal appendix on histopathological examination, whereas in 1 patient it was proved by histopathological examination that appendix was inflamed even though levels of CRP was normal in serum.

In our present study 95% of the CRP tests done are true whether level was raised or it was normal only 2(5%) of the tests done for CRP level estimation gave false report.

Test for c-reactive protein level estimation	
Sensitivity [(TP/TP+FN)x100]	97.5%
Specificity [(TN/TN+FP)x100]	85%
Predictive accuracy of +ve test [(TP/TP+FP)x100]	96%
Predictive accuracy of -ve test [(TN/TN+FN)x100]	85%

TP=True positive TN=True negative FP= False positive FN=False negative

Sensitivity is the ability of the test to identify correctly all those who have the disease that is 'true positive'. Specificity is the ability of the test to identify correctly all those who do not have the disease that is 'true negative'.

In addition to sensitivity and specificity, the performance of a screening test is measured by its predictive accuracy, which reflects the diagnostic power of the test.

Predictive accuracy or value of positive test indicates the probability that a patient with a positive result has in fact the disease in question.

## Discussion

In study of "C-reactive protein as a diagnostic parameter in acute appendicitis: A double blind study", the diagnostic value of serum CRP levels in patients with clinically suspected acute appendicitis was investigated.

Emergency appendectomy was done on patients with acute appendicitis based on clinical impression of the surgeon.

After the study it is noted that negative appendectomy rate was 14%. This rate of negative appendectomy was compared with other studies.

**Table 7:** Negative appendectomy rates

Study done	Negative appendectomy rate
Al-Saigh AR [1]	14.3%
Albu E [2]	10%
Thompson MM [4]	8%
Our study	14%

In our study serum CRP level was elevated in 86% of the patients and this rate corresponds to study done by Albu *et al* [2] where CRP levels were elevated in 85.7% of patients with acute appendicitis, also with study done by Thompson *et al* where 90% of patients had elevated CRP levels.

Serum CRP levels increases after the onset of inflammatory pathology, so the levels might be normal until about 12hrs after the onset of symptoms of acute appendicitis so the time interval between the appearance of symptoms and the actual testing of serum CRP levels had some bearing on the result shown by Al saigh *et al* and Asfar *et al* [1,3] in their studies.

According to our study the sensitivity and specificity of serum levels in diagnosis of acute appendicitis is comparable to the results given by other researchers.

So it is derived from different studies that serum CRP test is highly sensitive and specific in making diagnosis of patients who truly had acute appendicitis.

In our study predictive accuracy of serum CRP estimation test for positive test is 97.7% and for negative test is 85.7%.

In our study surgeon's clinical diagnosis was correct in 34 patients i.e. in 85% of patients, whereas serum CRP estimation test was true in 38 patients that is 95% of patients.

This difference demonstrates the valuable contribution of preoperative serum CRP measurement to the clinical diagnosis of acute appendicitis.

According to our statistical analysis if we would have considered serum CRP level as a basis for deciding to perform appendectomy, six unnecessary appendectomies could have been avoided and we could have avoided much of morbidity and economic burden on patient and on our health system. Hence serum CRP estimation does not undermine the importance of clinical diagnosis of a skilled surgeon but complements it.

## Conclusion

No doubt surgeon's clinical diagnosis using time tested clinical

signs is effective in diagnosing acute appendicitis. However elevated serum CRP levels support the surgeon's diagnosis and hence avoids chances of error in diagnosis, due to atypical presentations. Similarly a normal preoperative serum CRP level in patients with suspected acute appendicitis is most likely to be associated with a normal appendix on histopathological examination. Therefore normal serum CRP level after 12 hours of onset of symptoms should be used as a basis for the decision to defer surgery to reduce the rate of negative appendectomies, and also to reduce burden on patient as well as on health system.

#### **Acknowledgment**

The author thankful to Department of General Surgery for providing all the facilities to carry out this work.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

None

#### **References**

1. Al-Saigh AR. C-reactive protein in the differential diagnosis of the acute abdomen, especially acute appendicitis. *JR Coil Surg Edinb.* 1992 Aug;37(4):238-40.
2. Albu E, Mifier BM, Choi Y, Lakhanpal S, Murthy RN, Gerst PH. Diagnostic value of C-reactive protein in acute appendicitis. *Dis Colon Rectum.* 1994 Jan;37(1):49-51.
3. Asfar S, Safar H, Khoursheed M, Dashti H, al-Bader A. would measurement of C-reactive protein reduce the rate of negative exploration for acute appendicitis? *JR Coil Surg Edinb.* 2000 Feb;45(1):21-4.
4. Thompson MM, Underwood MJ, Dookeran KA, Lloyd DM, Bell PR. Role of sequential leucocyte counts and C-reactive protein measurements in acute appendicitis. *Br J Surg.* 1992 Aug;79(8):822-4.