

E-ISSN: 2616-3470 P-ISSN: 2616-3462

 ${\hbox{$\mathbb C$ Surgery Science}}$

www.surgeryscience.com 2021; 5(1): 36-39

Received: 18-11-2020 Accepted: 25-12-2020

Dr. Abbas Ali Hasan Al-Karkh General Hospital,

Baghdad, Iraq

Dr. Mohammed Hatem Abdalkareem Al-Karkh General Hospital, Baghdad, Iraq

Dr. Samir Z Ahmed Al-Dahawi Al-Karkh General Hospital, Baghdad, Iraq

The incidence of biliary leak in laporoscopic cholecystectomy

Dr. Abbas Ali Hasan, Dr. Mohammed Hatem Abdalkareem and Dr. Samir Z Ahmed Al-Dahawi

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/surgery.2021.v5.i1a.585

Abstract

Introduction: It is a common clinical condition-encountered by the otorhinolaryngologist. Key clinical Laparoscopic cholecystectomy has now replaced open cholecystectomy for the treatment of gallbladder diseases. However, Luparoscopic cholecystectomy may cause varicus complications, an important one of them is biliary injury. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy has been practiced for close to 25 years. The rate of common duct injury remains somewhere between 0.4 to 0.7 percent and is approximately the same around the world. Recent papers have stressed ways in which laparoscopic common duct injury can be avoided but none of the methods mentioned is fool proof. In addition, this complication can occur to even the most experienced laparoscopic surgeon this injury needs to be emphasized by the surgical community as an inherent risk of the operation, and patients should be fully informed of this potential complication. The aim of this study is to assess the outcome of laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) by determining the incidence of biliary leak and avoid it.

Settings: Surgical wards of Al-Emamian Alkazimian Medienl City between 2013 & 2014. This is a prospective study of 204 patients. We evaluated the data according to outcome measures, such as bile duct injury, morbidity, mortality. During this period, 204 patients underwent LC, of whom two patients (1%) have minor bile duct injuries. biliary tract injury is a major complication of LC. Anatomical anomalies, local pathology, and poor surgical techniques are the main responsible factors.

Keywords: Laparoscopic cholecystectomy, biliary injury, biliary leak

Introduction

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC), first introduced in France by Dr. Philippe Mouret in 1987, has been rapidly embraced worldwide as the procedure of choice for cholecystectomy [1]. compared with open cholecystectomy. LC is associated with less local pain, shorter hospitalization resulting in an early return to work, and a favourable cosmetic outcome. During the surgical learning curve for this technique there was an initial rise in the reports of bile duct injuries, resulting mainly from the [2]. The surgeons' inexperience and misinterpretation of the anatomy incidence of biliary injury during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Estimates the rate of major injury to be about 0.55%, and the incidence of minor injuries and bile leaks to be about 0.3%, a total of 0.85% [3]. Limited view, difficult orientation and assessment of depth on a twodimensional image, and the lack of tactile sensation and unusual manual skills that are needed have led to the rise in bile duct injury during laparoscopic cholecystectomy [4]. A number of different factors are associated with bile duct injury during laparoscopic cholecystectomy, these include acute or chronic inflammation, obesity, thermal injury, anatomic variations, bleeding, surgical technique with inadequate exposure and failure to identify structures before ligating or dividing them are the most common causes of significant biliary injury, the bile duct may be narrow and can be mistaken for the cystic duct, the cystic duct may run alongside the common bile duct before joining it, leading the surgeon to the wrong place; additionally, the cystic duct may enter the right hepatic duct, and the right hepatic duct may nun aberrantly, coursing through the triangle of Calot and entering the common hepatic duct, also a number of intraoperative technical factors have been implicated in biliary injuries [5]. Excessive cephalad retraction of the gallbladder may align the cystic duct with the common bile duct, and the latter is then mistaken for the cystic duct and clipped and divided, the use of an angled laparoscope instead of an endviewing one will help visualize the anatomic structures (in particular those around the triangle of Calot, an angled scope also will aid in the proper placement of clips), careless use of electro

Corresponding Author: Dr Abbas Ali Hasan Al- karkh general hospital, Baghdad, Iraq dissection deep into the liver parenchyma may cause injury to intrahepatic ducts, and poor clip placement close to the hilar area or to structures not well visualized can result in a clip across bile duct ^[6]. The routine use of intraoperative cholangiography to prevent bile duct injury is controversial, it may limit the extent of injury, but does not seem to prevent it. However, if a bile duct injury is suspected during cholecystectomy ^[7]. A cholangiogram must be obtained to identify the anatomic features. It is important to check that the whole biliary system fills with contrast and to be sure there are no leaks Factors predisposing for biliary tract injuries include ^[8].

A. Difficult anatomy [9]

- 1. Anomalies of cystic duct (low insertion, high insertion, short duct, parallel duct).
- 2. Right sectoral duct anomalies (in 20% of the sectoral duct join the common hepatic duct).
- 3. Intrahepatic GB
- 4. Arterial anomalies (accessory Right hepatic artery, arterial humps).

B. Difficult pathology [10]

- 1. Acute cholecystitis
- 2. Scleroatrophic GB (contracted GB).
- 3. Mirrizi syndrome (stone impacted in the Hartman pouch),
- 4. Chronic cholecystitis.
- 5. Frozen Calots's triangle.

C. Difficult in terms of technique [11]

- 1. Improper placement of trocars.
- 2. Bulky and hanging falciform ligament.
- 3. Bulky Quadrate lobe.
- 4. Undue haste exercised in clamping or clipping in the event of bleeding.
- 5. Injudicious use of electro cautery in Calot's triangle
- Surgeons' experience the learning curve effect", which implies that the bile duct injuries and the experience of the surgeon are inversely proportional.

Classification of biliary injury [12]

1. Strasberg classification represented: This classification includes all possible types of injuries.

Type A: leak from minor ducts like Cystic duct or duct of Lushka.

Type B: Ligation of aberrant right sectoral duct.

Type C: Division of aberrant right sectoral duct.

Type D: Lateral injury the major extra hepatic duct.

Type E: Classical laparoscopic cholecystectomy bile duct injury El, E2, E3, E4, ES.

Class I CBD mistaken for Cystic duct, but recognized by the surgeon.

Class II Lateral damage to common hepatic duct due to clips or cautery:

Class III CBD mistaken for cystic duct. CBD, common hepatic duct resected.

Class IV Right hepatic duct mistaken for cystic duct, right hepatic artery mistaken for cystic artery, right hepatic duct, and right hepatic artery transected. Lateral damage to right hepatic duct due to clips or cautery.

2. Bismuth classification [13]

This is used for strictures but the main drawback is it does not include all possible biliary injuries during Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy.

Type I is a low injury with a stump length more than 2cm.

Type II is a middle level injury with a stump length less than 2 cm.

Type III Hilar - confluence of right and left ducts intact.

Type IV involves loss of hepatic confluence with no communication between right and left ducts.

Type V involvement of the intrahepatic ducts.

Patients and Methods

This is a randomized prospective study of 200 patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy between January 2013 to January 2015 at Al-Emamian al-kazimian Medical City. Most of the patients underwent clective cholecystectomy for symptomatic cholelithiasis. All patients had symptoms consistent with biliary colic and other symptoms of chronic cholecystitis. Data have been taken from the history like age, gender, BMI, presentation, history of previous surgery. Clinical examination and investigations like liver function test, hematocrit, & abdominal ultrasound were done to all patients preoperatively, the latter to assess the GB size, thickness of the wall, presence of stones, location and number of the stones, & diameter of the CBD. For some patients we needed to do upper esophagogastroduodenoscopy. MRCP, prothrombin time (PT) & partial thromboplastin time (PTT). The patients have been admitted a day before operation and all patients had endotracheal intubation & general anesthesia, the anaesthetized patient is positioned on the table & the pneumoperitoneum is created, Nasogastric tube was put in stomach at the time of operation to deflate the stomach. The laparoscopic cholecystectomy was done after CO, insufflation. End viewing laparoscopic equipped with a video camera used. Monopolar electro cautery was used. The insertion of intra-perative intraperitoneal drain or insertion nasogastric tube depended on the surgeons' preference and opinion, four ports is placed in the abdomen; one in the umbilicus, one in the epigastrium, & the other two are lateral; the Calot's triangle is laid open by dividing the peritoneum on the posterior & on the anterior aspect, then the cystic duct is carefully defined & the cystic artery.

Table 1: Comparison between the result of our study and other study

study	Our study	Thailand	Jordan
result	1%	0.59%	0.4%

Result

Two hundred and four (204) patients were initially included in this study. LC was accomplished successfully in two hundred patients (200), four patients have been converted to the conventional open method due to sever adhesions or unclear anatomy and they were excluded from this study. Conversion rate is 19%.

Discussion

The major complications in our study were due to deatomical anomalies of the biliary tree. The first case was female (40ycars old age) [14, 15]. Who had L.C for symptomatic cholelithiasis, during operation she had signs of chronic cholecystitis with adhesion & fibrosis, so during clipping of the cystic duct by clipper which causing complete transection of the duct which

managed intra-operatively by save re-clipping then put drain and the patient was discharge to well with drain to return back after three days for removal of drain which show no evidence of bile leak and clinically very well [16, 17, 18]. The second case male (50 years old age), who had intra-hepatic gall bladder and Very tortuous hepatie artery in front of the origin of the cystic duct Moynihan's caterpillar hump) and his operation was otherwise apparently classical with no any complications were recorded so the patient was discharged on the next day [19, 20, 21]. Three day later the patient presented with abdominal pain, mild fever, tachycardia, and jaundice so the patient was admitted, and abdominal U/S, MRCP, ERCP were done which revealed evidence of sub-hepatic fluid collection with normal biliary tract, so the patient was re-explored and bile leak from gall bladder bed was found, closure of the liver bed, drainage and wash were done, and drain was inserted and the patient kept for seven days and then discharge well. So these two cases were classified as type a injury according to Stras Berg classification [22, 23, 24]. A recent audit of 1522 LCs performed in Thailand revealed a bile duct injury rate of 0.59%, i.e., about four times the incidence reported for open cholecystectomy [25]. This injury rate is lower than that found in our 15 study (1") this difference is due to the fewer no. of patients in our study. In Jordan in 2001, of 791 patients with chronic cholecyatitis (CC) and 207 with acute cholecystitis (AC) who underwent LC, extra-hepatic bile duct injuries were reported in only three cases After 1995, a median incidence rate of 0.3% was documented in data from both retrospective and prospective series The single most important factor responsible for bile duct injuries is misinterpretation of the patient's anatomy. Compared to the open operation, injuries sustained during LC are more often severe (e.g. excision of a segment of the CBD) and generally extend to higher levels. Acute inflammation around Calot's triangle makes the tissue friable and difficult to grasp [26]. Dissection in such conditions leads to excessive bleeding. This, together with the distorted anatomy, increases the risk of bile duct injuries during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. On the other hand, extensive fibrosis around Calot's triangle in cases of chronically inflamed and fibrosed gallbladders may make them extremely difficult to dissect [27]. The probability of complications in the patients who underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy was significantly higher in those patients diagnosed with complicated gallstone disease. A review of 74 patients referred with bile duct injuries sustained during laparoscopic cholecystectomy done at the Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, suggested that these injuries are frequently severe and are related to cautery and high clip ligation. According to the literature, the leak may be minor a small, accessory bile duct and clinically insignificant. Such cases (18) arising from should be treated conservatively. 16Injuries to the accessory bile duct are the most common cause of postoperative complications On the other hand, a major leak (117) or a retained stone in the CBD due to injury to a main duct may result in biliary fistula, peritonitis, biloma, Biliary fistula following LC is a common outcome in many studies, however, we hadn't faced any case in our study. Mostly, this complication results from improper application of clips or the clips slipping ERCP helps in diagnosis and removes any doubts regarding possible major ductal injuries [28]. The condition resolves spontaneously can provided there is no distal obstruction. In bile duct excision, a portion of the bile duct is lost and simple repair, as may be done in transection and laceration, is not possible The chances of late stricture are greater in bile duct transaction is an in bile duct laceration, as the axial vascular supply of the CBD is damaged in transection. Biliary reconstruction in the presence of

peritonitis, combined vascular und bile duct injuries or injuries at or above the level of the biliary bifurcation were significant independent predictors of poor outcome [29]. In our study, all patients had excellent recovery and were discharged in a good condition: however, long-term follow-up was not available. Strictures may develop early (within days or weeks) or may take Sears to develop and vary in both diameter and length. Early strictures may develop due to intra-operative procedures such as clamping, ligation or clipping of the duct or thermal injury. Local infection may also result in both early and delayed stricture formation. Thermal injury and occult malignancy are important causes of delayed stricture formation. Since injuries occurring at laparoscopic cholecystectomy are frequently more severe and extend to a higher level than those that occur during open cholecystectomy, prevention should always be the aim [30, 31]

Conclusion

Biliary injury is the Achilles heel of laparoscopic Cholecystectomy. It can have devastating effects, it mainly result from anatomical anomalies and errors of surgeon judgment and are thus preventable to some extent. The costs are reduced and outcome improved if these injuries are diagnosed early. Adding the experience gained from open cholecystectomy on the one hand and the advantages of laparoscopic cholecystectomy, in terms of visualization and magnification on the other will help in reducing the incidence of such complications.

References

- Reddick EJ, Olsen DO. Laparoscopic laser cholecystectomy. A comparison with mini-lap cholecystectomy Surg. Endosc 1989;3:131-133.
- 2. Dubois F, Icard P, Berthelot G *et al.* Coelioscopic cholecystectomy. Preliminary report of 36 cases. Ann. Surg 1990;211:60-62.
- 3. Kane RI, Lurie N, Borbas C *et al.* The outcomes of clective laparoscopic cholecystectomies. J Am. Coll. Surg 1995;180:136-145.
- 4. Soper N, Stockman P, Dunnegan D *et al.* Laparoscopic cholecystectomy. The new gold standard? Arch Surg 1992;127:917-923.
- 5. Huang ZQ, Huang XQ. Changing patterns of traumatic bile duct injuries: A review of forty years' experience. World Gastroenterol 2002:8:5-12.
- 6. Savader SJ, Lillemoe KD, Prescott CA, Winick AB, Venbrux AC, Lund GB *et al.* Laparoscopic cholecystectomy-related bile duct injuries: a health and financial disaster. Ann Surg 1997;225:268-273.
- 7. Moossa AR, Mayer AD, Stabile B. Iatrogenic injury to the bile duct. Who, how, where? Arch Surg 1990;125:1028-
- 8. Flum DR, Cheadle A, Prela C, Dellinger EP, Chan L. Bile duct injury during cholecystectomy and survival in medicare beneficiaries. Jama 2003;290:2168-2173.
- 9. Boerma D, Rauws EA, Keulemans YC, Bergman JJ, Obertop H, Huibregtse K *et al.* Impaired quality of life 5 years after bile duct injury during laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a prospective analysis. Ann Surg 2001;234:750-757.
- Moore DE, Feurer ID, Holzman MD, Wudel LJ, Strickland C, Gorden DL *et al.* Long-term detrimental effect of bile duct injury on health-related quality of life. Arch Surg 2004;139:476-482.

- 11. Deziel DJ, Millikan KW, Economou SG, Doolas A, Ko ST, Airan MC. Complications of Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy. A national survey of 4292 hospitals andan analysis Of 77604 cases, American journal of Surgery 1993;165:9-14.
- 12. Richardson MC, Bell G, fullarton GM. Incidence and nature of bile duct injuries following laparoscopic cholecystectomy: an audit of 5913 cases. British journal of Surgery 1996;83:1356-1360.
- 13. Gigot J, Etienne J, Aerts R. Wibin E, Dallemagna B. Deweer F. Fortunati D *et al.* The dramatic reality of biliary tract injury during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. An anonymous multicenter Belgian survey of 65 patients. Surgical Endoscopy 1997;11:1171-1178.
- Woods MS, Traverso LW, Korzareck RA, Tso JI, Rossi RL, Gough D. Donahue J H Characteristics of biliary tract complications during laparoscopic cholecystectomy multiinstitutional study. American Journal of Surgery 1994;167:27-34.
- 15. Russel JC, Walsh SJ, Mattie AS, Lynch T. Bile ducr injuries, a statewide experience. Connecticut laparoscopic cholecystectomy registry. Archives of Surgery 1996,13138238,1989-1993.
- 16. Strasburg SM, Herti M, Soper NJ. An analysis of the problem of biliary injury during Laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Journal of American College of Surgeons 1995;180:101-125.
- 17. Lygia Stewart *et al.* Surgical management of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic disorders: Poston & Blumgart (ed). Martin Dunit London and New York 2003,316-319.
- 18. Bismuth H. Postoperative strictures of the bile duct. In: Blumgart LH (ed) The Biliary tract. Clinical surgery international. Churchill Livingstone, Edinburgh 1982,209-218.
- 19. Sicklick JK, Camp MS, Lillemoe KD, Melton GB, Yeo J, Campbell KA *et al.* Surgical management of bile duct injuries sustained during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Ann Surg 2005;241:786-95.
- 20. Gharaibeh KI, Ammari F, Al-Heiss H, Al-Jaberi TM Qasaimeh GR, Bani-Hani K *et al.* Laparoscopic cholecystectomy for gallstones. Ann Saudi Med 2001;21:312-6.
- 21. Lsen DO. Bile duct injuries during laparoscopic cholecystectomy: of experience, J Hepatobiliary Pancreat decade Surg 2000;7:35-9.
- 22. Sakpal SV, Bindra SS, Chamberlain RS. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy conversion rates two decades later. JSLS 2010;14:476-483.
- 23. Asbun HJ, Rossi RL, Lowell JA, Munson JL. Bile duct inijury during laparoscopic cholecystectomy: Mechanism of injury, prevention, and management. World J Surg 1993:17:547-52.
- 24. Veen EJ, Bik M, Janssen-Heijnen ML, de Jongh M, Roukema Al. Outcome measurement in laparoscopic cholecystectomy by using prospective complication. Int J Qual Health Care 2008;20:144-51.
- 25. Wudel LJ, Jr, Wright JK, Pinson CW, Herline A, Debelak J, Seidel S, *et al.* Bile duct injury following laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a cause for continued concern. Am Surg. 2001;67:557-63.
- Balija M, Huis M, Szerda F. Bubnjar J, Stulhofer M. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy-accessory bile ducts. Acta Med Croatica 2003;57:105-9.
- 27. Morgenstern L. Berci G, Pasternak EH. Bile leak after

- biliary tract surgery: A laparoscopic perspective. Surg Endose 1993;7:432-8.
- 28. Lien HH, Huang CS, Shí MY, Chen DF, Wang NY, Tai FC *et al.* Management of bile leakage after laparoscopic cholecystectomy based on etiological classification. Surg Today 2004;34:326-30.
- 29. Schmidt SC, Langrehr JM, Hintze RE. Neuhaus P. Long term results and risk factors influencing outcome of major bile duct injuries following cholecystectomy. Br J Surg. 2005;92:76-82.
- 30. Strasberg S, Hertt M, Soper N. An analysis of the problems of the biliary injury during Surgeons 1995;180:101-25. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy. I Am College
- 31. Francoeur JR, Wiseman K, Buczkowski AK, Chung sw, Scudamore CH. Surgeons anonymous response after bile duct injury during cholecystectomy. Am J Sury 2003:185:468-475.