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Abstract

Background: Cholecystectomy is one of the most frequently performed procedures in gastrointestinal surgery, and the laparoscopic approach is now the gold standard for symptomatic cholecystolithiasis. The present study was conducted to assess biliary leakage after cholecystectomy.

Materials & Methods: 65 patients of biliary leakage after cholecystectomy of both genders were included. Parameters such as clinical presentations following biliary leak, timing of detection of bile leak post operatively, acute or chronic cholecystitis at the time of operation, amount of bile leak, postoperative investigation for bile leak, various modalities of management and its outcome, site of bile leak are recorded.

Results: Out of 65 patients, males were 38 and females were 27. Open cholecystectomy was performed in 32 and laparoscopic cholecystectomy in 33. Open method had 4 and laparoscopic cholecystectomy had 6 cases of major bile duct injury. The site of injury was GB Bed in 2, cystic duct in 4, CBD in 3 and CHD in 1 case. Management given was conservative with controlled external fistula in 35, suturing of cystic duct in 11, primary suturing in 10 and hepaticojejunostomy in 5 cases.

Conclusion: Bile leak from major bile duct injury should be managed promptly and requires skilled surgical intervention.
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Introduction

Cholecystectomy is one of the most frequently performed procedures in gastrointestinal surgery, and the laparoscopic approach is now the gold standard for symptomatic cholecystolithiasis as well as for chronic and acute cholecystitis \[1\]. Besides the advantages of a distinctly faster recovery and better cosmetic results, the laparoscopic approach bears a higher risk for iatrogenic bile duct injury (IBDI) and injury of the (right) hepatic artery \[2\]. IBDI is a complication associated with significant perioperative morbidity and mortality, reduced long-term survival and quality of life, and high rates of subsequent litigation. Despite increasing experience and progress in laparoscopic skills of surgeons, the incidence of IBDI is still elevated compared to open cholecystectomy \[3\]. The rate of clinically relevant bile leaks after conventional open cholecystectomy ranges between 0.1 and 0.5%. In contrast, biliary leakages have increased in the era of laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) by up to 3% \[4\].

The first iatrogenic bile duct injury was described by Sprengel in 1891 \[5\]. Cholecystectomy whether laparoscopic or open is the most commonly performed hepatobiliary surgery. Therefore post operative biliary leakage is also more common following cholecystectomy. And also because of long learning curve of laparoscopic procedure, IBDI is on higher side in laparoscopic cholecystectomy than in open variety \[6\]. Unrecognised or late diagnosis of bile duct injury can lead to serious consequences such as hepatic failure or death \[7\]. The present study was conducted to assess biliary leakage after cholecystectomy.

Materials & Methods

The present study comprised of 65 patients of biliary leakage after cholecystectomy of both genders. The consent was obtained from all patients.

Data such as name, age, gender etc. was recorded. Operative and postoperative records were recorded. Parameters such as clinical presentations following biliary leak, timing of detection of bile leak post operatively, acute or chronic cholecystitis at the time of operation, amount of bile leak, duration of bile leak, postoperative investigation for bile leak, various modalities of management and its outcome, site of bile leak are recorded. Data thus obtained were subjected to statistical analysis. P value < 0.05 was considered significant.
Results

Table I: Distribution of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M:F</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I shows that out of 65 patients, males were 38 and females were 27.

Table II: Type of cholecystectomy and biliary leak

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Major bile duct injury</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laparoscopic</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II shows that open cholecystectomy was performed in 32 and laparoscopic cholecystectomy in 33. Open method had 4 and laparoscopic cholecystectomy had 6 cases of major bile duct injury.

Graph I: Type of cholecystectomy and biliary leak

Table III: Assessment of parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site of injury</td>
<td>GB Bed</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cystic duct</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CBD</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Conservative with controlled external fistula</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suturing of cystic duct</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary suturing</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hepaticojejunostomy</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III, graph II shows that site of injury was GB Bed in 2, cystic duct in 4, CBD in 3 and CHD in 1 case. Management given was conservative with controlled external fistula in 35, suturing of cystic duct in 15, primary suturing in 10 and hepaticojejunostomy in 5 cases. The difference was significant ($P<0.05$).
Discussion

Iatrogenic bile duct injuries (IBDI) after laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC), being one of the most common performed surgical procedures, remain a substantial problem in gastrointestinal surgery [8]. A variety of injuries can occur. Besides minor bile leakage of aberrant ducts, cystic stump or the main bile duct, complete occlusion of the main duct or a branch (often an aberrant right duct) can happen. In addition, bile duct strictures and biliary leakages are severe long-term complications after LC [9]. These injuries are associated with high morbidity, mortality, and prolonged hospitalization. Currently, endoscopic procedures are most frequently used in the management of postoperative IBDI. There are several endoscopic techniques available, e.g. biliary stent placement, biliary sphincterotomy, and nasobiliary drainage [10]. In this respect, endoscopic therapy can reduce the transpapillary pressure gradient and improve the transpapillary flow, which decreases the extravasation out of the biliary tract. This reduction of bile leakage allows healing of duct lesion injuries without direct surgical repair. The present study was conducted to assess biliary leakage after cholecystectomy.

In present study, out of 65 patients, males were 38 and females were 27. A large retrospective cohort analysis of nearly 1.6 million Medicare patients in the United States undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy from 1992-1999 confirmed the incidence rate of bile duct injury at 0.5% [11]. Adamsen et al. [12] found that bile duct injuries are more common following laparoscopic cholecystectomy, including fistulae, which are reported in 1.3% to 5.5% of cases.

We found that open cholecystectomy was performed in 32 and laparoscopic cholecystectomy in 33. Open method had 4 and laparoscopic cholecystectomy had 6 cases of major bile duct injury. We observed that site of injury was GB Bed in 2, cystic duct in 4, CBD in 3 and CHD in 1 case. Management given was conservative with controlled external fistula in 35, suturing of cystic duct in 15, primary suturing in 10 and hepaticojejunostomy in 5 cases. Efforts to improve safety in LC have greatly increased the body of knowledge regarding all factors relevant to cholecystectomy. These include timing of the procedure and patient selection as well as training and assessment of surgeons performing LC. Endeavors to increase safety of the procedure resulted in optimized intraoperative processes, such as photographic documentation of the ‘critical view of safety’ (CVS), first described by Strasberg and colleagues almost 20 years ago. Using the CVS technique, the Calot’s triangle is completely unfolded by mobilizing the gallbladder neck from the gallbladder bed of the liver [13]. When this view is achieved, the two structures entering the gallbladder (cystic duct and cystic artery) can be definitively detected. Importantly, it is not necessary to see the CBD since such a procedure may disturb bile duct perfusion [14]. In addition to this standard procedure, the use of intraoperative cholangiography (IOC) has been propagated by some institutions. Several additional techniques are described to prevent IBDI during LC. Despite the plethora of publications and debates, there is still no consensus regarding the best setting and method, although most surgeons would agree that the CVS and IOC are among the most popular and effective. Both of these tools can be used via either laparotomy or laparoscopy [15]. Other methods described include various dissection techniques (infundibular, anterograde, etc.), landmark techniques, Rouvière’s sulcus, Calot’s node, or use of ultrasound, just to name a few.

Conclusion

Authors found that bile leak from major bile duct injury should be managed promptly and requires skilled surgical intervention.
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