International Journal of Surgery Science

E-ISSN: 2616-3470 P-ISSN: 2616-3462 © Surgery Science www.surgeryscience.com 2023; 7(2): 15-19 Received: 09-02-2023 Accepted: 17-03-2023

Munyantwari AE

Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, University of Goma, Democratic Republic of the Congo

Swedi ME

General Practitioner Medical Center of Goma Center, Democratic Republic of the Congo

Kibonge MA

 Department of Surgery, Faculty of medicine, Université Officielle de Bukavu, Democratic Republic of the Congo
Department of Surgery, Faculty of medicine, University of Lubumbashi, Democratic Republic of the Congo

Saleh MJ

General Practitioner Medical Center of Goma Center, Democratic Republic of the Congo

Tsongo VS

Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Université Officielle de Bukavu, Democratic Republic of the Congo

Tshilombo KF

Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, University of Lubumbashi, Democratic Republic of the Congo

Odimba BE

Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, University of Lubumbashi, Democratic Republic of the Congo

Bakary AS

Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, University of Lubumbashi, Democratic Republic of the Congo

Banza CL

Department of Toxicology of the University of Lubumbashi, Democratic Republic of the Congo

Arung KW

Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, University of Lubumbashi, Democratic Republic of the Congo

Corresponding Author: Munyantwari AE

Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, University of Goma, Democratic Republic of the Congo

Short-term clinical and paraclinical evaluation of the treatment of benign prostatic hypertrophy by phytotherapy with *Plantago major* and *Solanum aculeastrum*

Munyantwari AE, Swedi ME, Kibonge MA, Saleh MJ, Tsongo VS, Tshilombo KF, Odimba BE, Bakary AS, Banza CL and Arung KW

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/surgery.2023.v7.i2a.990

Abstract

Introduction: The evaluation of a new drug was presented as a learning act recommended by the authors. This motivated the current study which aimed to make the clinical (IPSS-QdV, TR) and paraclinical (PSA, ultrasound) assessment of 68 BPH patients treated 15 months ago with herbal medicine with *Plantago major* and *Solanum aculeastrum* with good results.

Material and Method: The study was cross-sectional and the results were presented in the form of histograms. The interpretation used the SSPS software and their significance was made using the χ^2 (p ≤ 0.05).

Results and Discussion: At the end of the evaluation, the deterioration of the rates of the parameters under examination (clinical and paraclinical assessment) was noted.

Conclusion: The conclusion was that, even if similar evaluations were not found in the available documentation, this situation should lead to the resumption and continuous repetition of treatment according to the traditional practitioner's regimen in episode mode (three months of treatment, six months break followed by regular evaluation).

Keywords: Prostate hypertrophy, herbal medicine

Introduction

Already in 1993 Constandriopoulos A P *et al* said that evaluation was an activity as old as the world, banal and inherent to the very process of learning. It responded to several principles including rigor ^[1].

In 2019, the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) Network of the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) set six revised and updated evaluation criteria namely relevance, coherence, effectiveness, efficiency, impact and viability (sustainability).

These criteria are intended to support the quality of evaluations and to strengthen the contribution of this function to sustainable development ^[2] Philippe Michel and Valéry Ridde said that there is no single definition on evaluation and that no terminology was not shared but that one could consider that evaluation was "a process of quantitative and/or qualitative analysis which consisted in appreciating either the progress of an action or a program, or in measuring their effects (i.e., the specific effects and the consequences or impact)".

They described 7 stages of this process, of which we have retained the last one, which speaks of enhancing the evaluation by taking the recommendations into account ^[3].

Berbis J *et al* added that the evaluation of the effectiveness of a new drug is based on knowledge of the patients and the context of a pathology (relevance of the determinants of patient satisfaction and efficiency) confronted with the objective data ^[4]. All of the above justified the present study.

Indeed, in previous work, we saw that medical treatment was indicated in uncomplicated benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) with moderate or severe Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms (LUTS) and impaired quality of life (QOL). We also noted that medical treatment had supplanted conventional treatment, i.e. surgery alone ^[5].

https://www.surgeryscience.com

Levy A and Samraj G P^[6] in "Benign prostatic hyperplasia, when to watch when to treat? recommended that it was necessary to treat when the QoL was altered because many of the patients were asymptomatic and that one operated only in front of the complications. Poirier J added that treatment was only provided if the QoL was altered through regular monitoring^[7].

The indication for BPH treatment primarily took into account the severity score and that of the quality of life assessed by the International Prostatic Symptoms Score (IPSS) coupled with the Quality of Life (QoL), self-administered questionnaires.

These were supplemented by Prostatic Specific Antigen (PSA) which reflects cell proliferation, digital rectal examination (dependent examiner) and ultrasound to assess the volume of the gland.

The blood level of creatinine and the cytobacteriological examination (ECBU) completed the primary explorations of BPH ^[8-16]. Medical treatment, even if it avoids complications such as frequent acute urinary retention (AUR) and surgery, still has a high cost ^[17].

Coulange C also said that from the 8th year it will cost as much as surgical treatment ^[18].

The high cost of various treatments for uncomplicated BPH justified a previous study on local herbal medicine that meets international, African and even Congolese recommendations and practices. The first signs of improvement according to Poirier^[7] were for 8-14 day α -blockers.

In the previous study presented by us, they had appeared from the 14th day but confirmed at the 1st check-up (one month).

While conventional medical treatment for BPH is known to be lifelong and susceptible to side effects, improvement with *Plantago major* and *Solanum aculeastrum* phyto-medication was noted over the 27 months of the study without any toxicity ^[19, 20].

Objective

At the end of the previous study, it was recognized that while conventional medical treatment should be subject to periodic medical check-ups (every 6 months)^[7], follow-up should also be done in patients receiving phytotherapy in in order to determine the real duration of its beneficial effects and to propose the action to be taken.

Hence the meaning of this evaluation after a 15-month break from herbal medicine with *Plantago major* and *Solanum aculeastrum* here considered as a new drug ^[4] against uncomplicated BPH.

Material and Methods

Type of study: this was a comparative cross-sectional study of the results of herbal medicine for benign prostatic hyperplasia with *Plantago major* and *Solanum aculeastrum* for 27 months (01-01-2019 to 31-03-2021) according to the traditional practitioner scheme and after a 15-month break, i.e. on 30-06-2022.

This break had not been determined by the traditional practitioner, it was imposed on us by the concern to better understand the effectiveness of this treatment.

Patients: 68 patients who received phytotherapy during the above period, namely male subjects aged 60 and over with uncomplicated BPH or comorbidities.

Methods: all these patients had benefited from a prior histopathological examination in the previous study, which confirmed BPH.

It is currently a question of evaluating by the clinic (IPSS-QdV, TR) and the paraclinical (PSA, ultrasound) in the same way as

for the first study ^[19].

So we used

- 1. The IPSS-QoL questionnaire to know the degree of severity (mild, moderate, severe) and the deterioration of the quality of life (satisfied or bored).
- 2. to PSA to identify the level of cellular activity of the gland due to possible hyperplasia.
- 3. The PSA was performed using the Ichroma II automaton from Boditech Med Int (South Korea 2018) (Normal rate 0-4 ng/ml).
- 4. on TR and ultrasound to assess the volume of the prostate: small (\leq 40cm3), medium (40-70cm3), large (\geq 70 cm3).
- 5. The ultrasound was performed by a specialist in medical imaging using the Bruel and Kjaer model ultrasound scanner (BK Medical 7.5MHZ USA 2005).

Results

1. IPSS



For the IPSS, it was observed that the light score cases represented 73.5% in March 2021 while it fell to 6% at the current evaluation; the moderate score represented 26.5% in 2021, whereas it was estimated at 74% at appraisal.

There was reappearance of the severe score at the evaluation with 21% of the cases.

Thus the deterioration in the health of patients in 2022 with regard to the IPSS was proven by the $\chi 2$ test (p<0.05) compared to 2021.

2. QdV



The number of cases with satisfaction was higher in 2021, i.e. 95.6% compared to 9.5% at appraisal. Conversely, the number of annoyed cases was estimated at 4.4% in 2021 and 90.5% at appraisal. The deterioration in the health of patients in 2022 with

regard to QoL was proven by the **3. PSA**



The number of cases with a PSA level on 03/31/2021 < 4 ng was 88.2%, well above 7.4% at assessment; conversely, the number of cases rate > 4 ng 11.8% in 2021 was lower than that found at appraisal 92.6%. This led us to accept that there was dependence in the results compared to the year 2021 and 2022. (p0.00<0.05).

4. TR



The number of moderate volume cases, which represented 66.2% in 2021, was found to be slightly higher than 58.8% at appraisal; but the voluminous situation in 2022, i.e. 41.2%, was slightly higher than that of 2021, i.e. 33.8% of cases.

The statistical calculations reflect the independence of the results between the two years concerning the TR (p 0.524 > 0.05).

5. Echographie



As of March 31, 2021, the number of cases with a volume between 40 -60 g was 88.2%, far higher than 21% on 06/30/22. Volume 60-70g cases were 8.8% and >70g at 2.94% low

compared to 53% and 26% respectively at assessment.

The results were dependent on the 2 study years, i.e. the variations observed in 2022 are 95% linked to the procedures in 2021 (p 0.00 < 0.05).

Discussion

Overall almost in all cases the rates for 2021 are better than those for 2022.

We did not find in our documentation any evaluation of the results of the authors quoted in the previous study who published on herbal medicine in general, that of BPH, in particular.

However, we found new authors who published on the review of medicinal plants used against BPH in Nigeria^[21].

Mazin *et al* again reviewed the effectiveness of herbal medicine for urinary disorders, primarily BPH, without mentioning a treatment evaluation control schedule ^[22].

Leonard SM *et al* claimed that the Saw palmetto plant mix appeared to be reassuring and a very desirable option for men with uncomplicated BPH. However, it seemed to have only slightly better clinical effects than the placebo. They however noted that this mixing was associated with epithelial reduction especially in the transition zone (p 0.01) indicating its mechanism of action which underlies the significant clinical effect shown in other studies ^[23].

Eszter Csikós *et al* recognized that the tolerance of herbal medicine was expressed by the lesser side effects in contrast to conventional molecules.

On the other hand, that more information was needed on phytomedication in order to reassure on its safety ^[24]. We understood, from the above, that herbal medicine was still the subject of studies for its efficiency and that it was appropriate, on our part, to evaluate its distant results in order to draw useful recommendations.

We thought it would be useful to study the parameters that helped us with the evaluation, namely:

1. The IPSS-QdV

For Curtis N *et al*, the IPSS-Qdv and the PSA were, after the interview, the TR, and the visual examination of the urine, the starting point for the diagnosis and follow-up of patients with voiding disorders related to BPH ^[25].

Seisen Th *et al* said that the impact of urinary functional signs was assessed by IPSS and Qdv ^[26].

Duperron C $^{[27]}$ and the French College of Urology $^{[8]}$ have set the stages of the examination of the patient to arrive at the diagnosis. These are, after the interrogation, the TR, the PSS and the QdV. They are used to assess functional signs.

Cottaz V added the recommendation to rewrite the IPSS and the QoL by adapting them to other global aspects of the patient's social life in relation to the well-being of the "prostate patient"^[28].

The Malaysian authors insisted on the use of IPSS, flow measurement and post-void volume in the evaluation of the medical management of BPH ^[29]. This step in the BPH examination is therefore important.

2. PSA

The Canadian authors considered PSA as an indicator of prostate cancer and as a predictor of the progression of BPH. They

relativized the results of herbal medicine compared to placebo because of the lack of pharmacokinetic studies and monitoring regulation.

There was also no significant difference between herbal medicine and placebo in IPSS, urine output, prostate volume, PSA and quality of life. However, they recognized the few side effects and lent it significant potential for interaction with other drugs ^[30].

Heldfand M *et al* have confirmed that in the case of medical treatment alone or in combination, the use of ultrasound and PSA level determination is required to assess the risk of tumor progression ^[31].

In this study, the significant increase in the number of patients with high PSA levels observed during this evaluation seemed to be the logical associated sign of the alteration of the parameters mentioned in point 1.

3. TR

The volume of the prostate does not always correspond to the extent of functional urinary signs.

Indeed, the National Association for Accreditation and Evaluation in Health (ANAES) in France has confirmed that this examination is "operator-dependent" and therefore also depends on the perception of the clinician ^[32].

She further clarified this by noting the absence of parallelism between the high frequency of BPH, an anatomical fact, and the lower frequency of LUTS reported by patients ^[33].

The HAS has also said that the volume alone is not an indication for starting treatment ^[34].

Bengaly S, said that non-symptomatic BPH does not require any medical treatment.

Indeed, the treatment must take into account the functional impact, the complications and the patient's background ^[35].

In our case, the little information provided by this examination could not hinder the evaluation thanks to the study of other parameters.

4. Ultrasound

The Association of Medical Ultrasound, in 2011, recognized that ultrasound was developed to assist practitioners in undertaking ultrasound examination in the practice of urology.

While no abnormality is detected on clinical examination, the use of ultrasound could maximize the probability of answering the question raised by the clinic ^[36].

Nur Banu Albayrak and Yusuf Sinan considered that the examination of the volume of the prostate by ultrasound offered many advantages such as carriage, the least cost, the absence of invasion and the relevance of the operation in time real ^[37].

Even veterinarians have been able to use ultrasound to assess the improvement in dogs with BPH treated with osaterone acetate and deslorelin acetate, anti-androgen molecules ^[37].

Manzoor T *et al* confirmed that acute urinary retention was one of the major complications of BPH.

This caused resistance to the flow of urine and resulted in very painful urination.

Also, ultrasound was considered the mode of choice for exploration of the prostate because of its lack of invasiveness during this clinical drama ^[39].

Ultrasound has enabled Delin Wang *et al* in Singapore to better determine the relationship between prostate volume and medial lobe syndrome in their responsibility for acute urinary obstruction. In fact, median lobe syndrome was more predictive of acute obstruction than prostate volume, hence the importance of its early diagnosis ^[40].

This shows the interest of the objective information provided by

this examination during this evaluation.

Conclusion and Recommendation

The deterioration of the clinical and paraclinical parameters that were the subject of our study after a 15-month break without phytomedication led, despite the lack of analogous situations among the authors read, to the decision to go beyond the duration of the27 month treatment. The episode mode, namely three months treatment – six months break, would be respected according to the prescription of the traditional practitioner and repeated according to the severity and quality of life of the patient(s).

The evaluation after every 27 months, duration of a cure, would be recommended in order to test the efficiency and the toxicity.

Conflict of Interest

Not available

Financial Support

Not available

Reference

- 1. Constandriopoulos AP, Champagne F, Denis JL, Pineault R. L'évaluation dans le domaine de la santé. Concepts et méthodes. 1993;bulletin33(1):12-17.
- Comité d'aide au développement (CAD) de l'Organisation de coopération et de développement économique (OCDE). Critères d'évaluation révisés et actualisés. OCDE; c2019. p. 12.
- Philippe Michel, Valéry Ridde. L'évaluation en 7 étapes. IREPS Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes et ORS Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes 4^{ème} édition revue et corrigée; c2019 Nov. p. 75.
- Berbis J, Alessandrinia M, Karsentyb G, Auquier P. How to evaluate the efficacy of a new drug? Progrès en Urologie, Elsevier Masson SAS. 2013;23:1208-1212. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.purol.2013.09.019: 11.
- 5. Monteil L, Reynaud Th RVU-AGM: L'adénome de la prostate. Stratégie thérapeutique. Rein et voies urinaires-Appareil génital masculin (Pr Bastide). Marseille; c2015. p. 10.
- 6. Levy A, Samraj GP. Benign Prostatic hyperplasia: when to watch and when to treat. Cleve Clin J Med. 2007 May;74 (Suppl 3):15-20.
- 7. Poirier J. Hypertrophie bénigne de la prostate et son traitement médicamenteux. Thèse pour Doctorat d'État en Pharmacie; c2010 avril. p. 100.
- 8. Collège Français des Urologues. Item 123 (Item 247) Hypertrophie bénigne de la prostate; c2014. p. 1-4.
- Le Duc A. Histoire naturelle de l'hypertrophie bénigne de la prostate et vieillissement de l'appareil urogénital. Emémoires de l'Académie Nationale de Chirurgie. 2005;4(1):1-3.
- 10. Care foundation. Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia (BPH) Urology Patient Guide. Care Foundation. Prostate Health-BPH; c2021. p. 3.
- KMC, Dieleman JP, Bleumink GS, J van der Lei J, MCJM Sturkenboom, MCJM. Incidence and Prevalence of Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms Suggestive of Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia in Primary Care. The Triumph Project. European Urology. 2002 Oct;1:323-328.
- 12. Rawson NSB, Saad F. The aging male population and medical care for benign prostatic hyperplasia in Canada. Can Urol Assoc J. 2010 Apr;4(2):123-127.
- 13. Darchy E. Place de la phytothérapie dans le traitement de l'hypertrophie bénigne de la prostate. Thèse de pharmacie. Aix Marseille Université 28 avril; c2017. p. 189.

- 15. AUA Foundation. Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia. AUA Foundation Copyright; c2005. p. 25.
- Tanguy S, Awde M, Porock G, Casey R, Kosac J, Lee J, Nickel C, Saad F. Diagnostic and management of BPH in primary care. Canadian Urological Association. Juin. 2009;3(3 suppl 2):2-10.
- 17. Bahia Luciana Ribeiro, Araujo Denizar Vianna, Pepe Camila, Trinidade Michelle, Camargo Caroline Mendoza, Javaroni Vater. Coeffectivness analysis of medical treatment of benign prostatic hyperplasia in the Brazilian public health system. Int braz Urol. 2012;38:595-605.
- Coulange C. Place de la chirurgie traditionnelle en France dans le traitement de l'hypertrophie bénigne de la prostate. E-mémoires de l'Académie de Chirurgie. 2003;4(1):8-11.
- Munyantwari AE, Swedi ME, Kasali MF, Tsholombo KF, Banza CL, Odimba BE, *et al.* Evaluation of Phytotherapy in *Plantago major* and *Solanum aculeastrum* vs α-blocker treatment of Benign Prostic Hyperplasia by using IPSS, clinic and ultrasound in Goma. International Journal of Surgery Science. 2022;4(2):113-120.
- 20. Munyantwari AE, Swedi ME, Kasali MF, Tshilombo KF, Banza CL, Odimba BE, *et al.* Haematological, biochemical and bacteriological assessment after Phytotherapy of Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia using *Plantago major* and *Solanum aculeastrum* in Goma. International Journal of Surgery Science. 2022;6(2):15-23.
- 21. Ikeyi AP, Ugwoke AO. A Review of Medicinal Plants with Potential Anti-Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia (Bph) Activity Used In Nigeria. IDOSR journal of biochemistry, biotechnology and allied fields. 2020;5(1):64-71.
- 22. Mazin Abdulridha Ateyah, Manal Khalid Abdulridha, Munaim Jumaa Alkabee. Saw Palmetto Therapy for Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms Associated with Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia Assessment in Iraq. Platform and Workflow by OJS/PKP. 2021;21(2):3.
- 23. Leonard S Marks, Alan W Partin, Jonathan I Epstein, Varro E Tyler, Inpakala Simon, Maria L Macairan, *et al.* Effects of a Saw palmetto herbal blend in men with symptomatic benign prostatic hyperplasia. Investig Clin Urol. 2021;62:520-534. https://doi.org/10.4111/icu.20210254 pissn 2466-0493 eissn 2466-054x.
- Eszter Csikós, Adrienn Horváth, Kamilla Ács, Nóra Papp, Viktória Lilla Balázs, Marija Sollner Dolenc, *et al.* Ágnes Farkas and on behalf of the OEMONOM[†]. Treatment of Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia by Natural Drugs. Molecules. 2021;26:7141.

https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26237141https://www.md pi.com/journal/molecules.

- Curtis NCJ, Lorne A, Jack Bankin J, Dean Elterman D, Mahmen Nachabé, Kevin C Zorn. Canadian Urological Association guideline on lower urinary tract symptoms in men/Benign Prostatic hyperplasia. 2018 Oct;12(10):303-312.
- Seisen Th, Evanguelos X, Roupet M, Robert G. Item 123-UE 5. Hypertrophie bénigne de la prostate. AFU; c2015. p. 18.
- 27. Duperron C. Hypertrophie bénigne de la prostate. CHU Dijon https://fr.m.wikipedia.org>wikis; c2007. p. 18.
- Cottaz V. Hypertrophie bénigne de la prostate et qualité de vie. Quid de la qualité de vie du patient prostatique? Thèse, Lyon (EST); c2017. p. 73.
- 29. Dean Elterman, Mélanie Aubé-Peterkin, Howard Evans,

Hazem Elmansy, Malek Meskawi, Kevin C Zorn, *et al.* Canadian Urological Association guideline on male lower urinary tract symptoms/benign prostatic hyperplasia (MLUTS/BPH). Canadian Urological Association; c2022. p. 30.

- Technology Assessment Unit Medical Development Division. Ministry of health Malaysia (5MOH)/P/PAK/46.02 (TR). Medical management of benign prostatic hyprplasia. Head health Technology Unit, Medical Development Division, Ministry of Health Malaysia, 2^e Flow Perkin Building. Jalah Ipoh, 51200 Kuala Lumpur. Malaysia. E-Mail: htamalaysia.hotmail.com.
- Mark Heldfand, Tara Mzyk, Mark Gazotto. Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia in Primary Care-Screening and Therapy. Portland VA Medical Center, Final Report; c2007. p. 35.
- 32. ANAES. Recommandations pour la pratique clinique. Éléments d'information des hommes envisageant la réalisation d'un dépistage individuel du cancer de la prostate; c2004 Sep. p. 27.
- ANAES/Service des recommandations professionnelles. Prise en charge de l'hypertrophie bénigne de la prostate. Mars; c2003. p. 27.
- 34. Haute Autorité de Santé (HAS). Rapport d'évaluation technique-Traitement des symptômes du bas appareil urinaire liés à l'hypertrophie bénigne de la prostate par laser; c2013 Nov. p. 1-202.
- Bengaly S. Hypertrophie bénigne de la prostate au service d'urologie du CHU Gabriel Touré. Thèse, Bamako. 2018;133:9.
- 36. The Association of Medical Ultrasound. Ultrasound in Practice of Urology; c2011. p. 10.
- Nur Banu Albayrak, Yusuf Sinan. Estimation of the Prostate Volume from Abdominal Ultrasound. Aplied sciences MDPI; c2022. p. 12. https://doi.org/10.3390/app12031390.
- Wojciech Niz an'ski, Małgorzata Ochota, Christelle Fontaine, Joanna Pasikowska. B-Mode and Doppler Ultrasonographic Findings of Prostate Gland and Testes in Dogs Receiving Deslorelin Acetate or Osaterone Acetate. Animals. 2020;10:2379. DOI: 10.3390/ani10122379www.mdpi.com/journal/animals 2 of 2.
- Manzoor T, Shahid K, Ibrahim M, Waris NA. Role of Abdominal Ultrasound in Evaluating Patients With Urinary Retention due to Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia. Biomedica. 2016 Apr-Jun;32(2):99-103.
- 40. Delin Wang, Honghong Huang, Yan Mee Law, Keong Tatt Foo. Relationships between Prostatic Volume and Intravesical Prostatic Protrusion on Transabdominal Ultrasound and Benign Prostatic Obstruction in Patients with Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms. Ann Acad Med Singapore. 2015;44:60-65.

How to Cite This Article

Munyantwari AE, Swedi ME, Kibonge MA, Saleh MJ, Tsongo VS, Tshilombo KF, *et al.* Short-term clinical and paraclinical evaluation of the treatment of benign prostatic hypertrophy by phytotherapy with *Plantago major* and *Solanum aculeastrum*. International Journal of Surgery Science. 2023;7(2):15-19.

Creative Commons (CC) License

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.